LABOURERS (IRELAND) ACTS, 1883-6

RETURN to an Order of the Honourable The House of Commons, dated 20 March 1889;—*for*,

RETURN "of CASES in which OBJECTIONS were Lodged against PROVISIONAL ORDERS issued by the LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD for *Ireland*, the Grounds of the OBJECTION in each Case, and the DECISION of the LORD LIEUTENANT in COUNCIL; also showing the Dates of the Sitting of the JUDICIAL COMMITTEE of the PRIVY COUNCIL, and the MEMBERS of the COMMITTEE present:—

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector.	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.

(in continuation of Parliamentary Paper, No. 139, of Session 1887)."

(*Mr. Penrose Fitz Gerald.*)

Ordered, by The House of Commons, *to be Printed*, 28 *March* 1889.

LONDON:
PRINTED BY HENRY HANSARD AND SON;
AND
Published by EYRE and SPOTTISWOODE, East Harding-street, London, E.C., and 32, Abingdon-street, Westminster, S.W.:
ADAM and CHARLES BLACK, North Bridge, Edinburgh;
and HODGES, FIGGIS, and Co., 104, Grafton-street, Dublin.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of CASES in which OBJECTIONS were Lodged against PROVISIONAL ORDERS issued by the LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD for *Ireland*, the Grounds of the OBJECTION in each Case, and the DECISION of the LORD LIEUTENANT in COUNCIL; also showing the Dates of the Sitting of the JUDICIAL COMMITTEE of the PRIVY COUNCIL, and the MEMBERS of the COMMITTEE present.

Poor Law Union.	Names of Objector.	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
BANDON	Sir Samuel Edmond Fallmar, Bart., and Timothy Lane.	That they are owner and lessee respectively of part of the lands of Garrydoherty on which it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages that notice of the guardians' intention to acquire said lands was not served on one of the petitioners Sir S. E. Fallmar, who is the owner nor on the then occupier and tenant of the said lands, as required by the Acts: that there are several labourers houses already on the farm of Garrylasty, and also in the village of Farren adjacent thereto, and which latter forms part of the holding on which the proposed cottages are to be built: that said village is less than a quarter of a mile distant from the proposed sites, and if any such were necessary or required there are eligible and suitable sites in said village; there are also two existing labourers' cottages on said farm which are at present occupied by two labourers, for whom two of the proposed new cottages are intended: that the proposed sites are only a short distance from the residence and farm buildings of one of the petitioners (Timothy Lane), and the lands proposed to be allotted to the said two cottages are portion of the very best and most profitable lands on his farm.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Orders. (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by the guardians.)	6 May 1887	5 April 1887 The Lord Chancellor Mr. Justice Lawson. The Vice Chancellor Judge Warren. Mr. S. Woulfe Flanagan. Mr. Brewer. The O Conor Don. Mr. Kavanagh. Mr. Justice Monroe
	Nathaniel Jackson	That he is lessee and occupier of part of the lands of Garteen whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage: that the land proposed to be taken is situate within 2½ perches of his house and abuts on the lodge and interferes with the amenity of his residence: that of the committee of four persons appointed by the guardians for the approval of the sites only one member approved of the said site: that there is no want or necessity whatsoever for the erection of a cottage on those lands, which are situate within two miles of the large town of Bandon, and petitioner has on his employment three men who are well able to do all the labourers' work he requires, that it was a vexatious proceeding placing this cottage near his residence when there are several more suitable sites in the neighbourhood, and petitioner believes that it was done for the purpose of annoyance for having turned the previous occupier out of possession: that the fixing of a site on his farm was not *bona fide*, and same is wholly unnecessary.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for also cottage abandoned by the guardians.)	6 May 1887	ditto ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

62 MACROOM -	Daniel Hennessy	That he is lessee and occupier of part of the lands of Ballyhorwick, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, that he received no notice of the local inquiry, at which he proposes to attend, that there are five houses on his farm, two of which were for a long time unoccupied that the farm is wholly a pasturage farm, and is used by petitioner for rearing mixed cattle. Petitioner does not reside on it, but resides on another farm at Dromin about six miles distant that there is no want or necessity for additional house accommodation for labourers or otherwise on said farm or in its neighbourhood, as besides the house accommodation thereon above referred to, there in the village of Stunks, on the north western boundary, and a village called Donoughmore Cross Roads, about half a mile from the eastern boundary of the said farm, in which there is ample accommodation, that the fixing of the site of the proposed cottage on his farm was not *bonâ fide*, and that same is wholly unnecessary	That the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order be confirmed. (The Petition in the case was withdrawn.)	27 June 1887	ditto ditto.	
Ferdinand Bunnah	That he is one of the owners of the lands of Dunisky on which it is proposed to erect two labourers' cottages, that there are at present a sufficient number of cottages on the said lands and in the neighbourhood, that the applicants for the two new houses, and for whom they are proposed to be built, are Denis Sullivan and John Desmond both of whom now occupy two good slated two-storied houses, with small gardens attached that there are at present 16 dwelling houses on the said lands, two of which are unoccupied, and those are more that are required for the labourers there.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	27 June 1887	ditto ditto.	
12 BALTINGLASS -	Meade Canfield Dennis.	That he is owner in fee of the lands situated in the townland of Baltinglass, west, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that the existing house accommodation for agricultural labourers and their families in the district is not deficient, having regard to the ordinary requirements of the district, many labourers in the town of Baltinglass being unemployed, that the alleged deficiency of house accommodation in the district could be efficiently remedied otherwise than by an improvement scheme that there are several large farms in the district where the cottages might more reasonably be proposed for erection, the owners of which farms have to go into Baltinglass to have labourers, that the said lands consist of 14 acres, and have been adjudged by the Land Commissioners to be Town Parks, that the lands in question are suitable in every respect for the building thereon of villas or houses of a superior class, and that the erection of the proposed cottages would deprive him of two of the best frontages and thus preclude him from making improvements that the land proposed to be taken has not been selected with due regard to the general situation and conveniences of his property, and that the old Ordnance Maps will shew that there were two houses of the town on the sites selected which were thrown down, being injurious to the property that any increase to the labouring population would be injurious to the present labourers, and would increase the rates of the division that the Local Government Inspector did not view the cottage of petitioner which was condemned by the medical officer of the district, and which cottage ought not to have been condemned.	That the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order is confirmed	6 May "	5 April 1887 The Lord Chancellor The Lord Chief Justice. Mr Justice Lawson. The Vice Chancellor Judge Warren. Mr R. W. Flanagan. Mr Byrne. The O'Conor Don. Mr Kavanagh. Mr Justice Monroe	
Thomas Griffin	That he is occupier of the lands in the townland of Kilmurray, Lower, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, that a labourer's cottage is not wanted in the locality either by him or his neighbours that it is just at the passage where he draws up his turf and is also the passway for his cattle to their pasture.	That a site be substituted for the one objected to.	6 May 1887	ditto ditto.	

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
BALLYMONEY—*continued.*	Margaret Malone	That the is occupier of part of the lands of Knockvagh, on which it is proposed to erect two labourers' cottages; that the existing house accommodation for agricultural labourers and their families in the district is not deficient, and that any supposed deficiency could be remedied otherwise than by an Improvement Scheme; that the lands in question contains about 40 acres, and have been for a number of years under grass and used in connection with her home farm and residence of Mount Louis, which is immediately adjoining; that petitioner has on the lands of Mount Louis two labourers' cottages which are always tenanted and the occupants of which she employs; that the erection of the said cottages would be injurious to her, and that the proposed sites were selected without due regard to the general situation and convenience of her holding; that there are more labourers resident in the district than are required, and that if additional house accommodation were requisite the sites should have been placed on the large farms, whose owners have to go into the towns to obtain labourers; that as regards three of the persons named as being in want of accommodation, the residence of one was not visited by the medical officer; that in the same yard in which the second resides there is a good slated house unoccupied in which he could be accommodated, and with respect to the third, that he is not an agricultural labourer within the meaning of the Acts.	That the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order be confirmed. (The Petition was withdrawn in this case.)	6 May 1887	5 April 1887. The Lord Chancellor. The Lord Chief Justice. Mr Justice Lawson. The Vice Chancellor. Judge Warren. Mr R. W. Flanagan. Mr Bruce. The O'Conor Don. Mr Kavanagh. Mr Justice Monroe.
	Joseph Kelsoe	That he is owner of land situate in the Townland of Mountmello, on which it is proposed to build two labourers' cottages, and that the sites in question are too near his residence.	That a site be substituted for the site of the two cottages objected to. (In this case the guardians agreed to accept an alternative site.)	6 May 1887	- ditto ditto.
LONGFORD -	John Kennedy -	That he is occupier of part of the lands of Cromon, South, containing about 16 statute acres as tenant from year to year, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that he received no notice of the local inquiry whereby he was precluded from attending such inquiry and opposing and showing cause against the scheme; that the taking of the said site would be an undue interference with his property, and would diminish its value far in excess of the compensation he would obtain, and which by interrupting his communication with other portions of his farm, would seriously interfere with its profitable working and management; that petitioner's farm is divided into two lots, which lie at a considerable distance from one another, consisting respectively of 11 and 5 acres, and it is on the larger of them lots that the site is proposed to be taken; that if any portion of his farm is to be taken compulsorily, a site on the smaller lot would have been in all respects more convenient and suitable; that there is no need for increased accommodation for labourers in the district, nor does petitioner require additional labour; that he occupies the smallest farm in Cromon, South and that no cottages have been placed on the lands of neighbouring proprietors who have much larger farms; that the person for whom the said cottage is intended is unknown to him, and that the site was placed on his land for the purpose of annoyance.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order. (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	6 May 1887	5 April 1887. The Lord Chancellor. Mr Justice Lawson. The Vice Chancellor. Judge Warren. Mr R. W. Flanagan. Mr. Bruce. The O'Conor Don. Mr Kavanagh. Mr. Justice Monroe.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

	James Taylor and Thompson John Taylor.	That they hold the lands of Curbey, on which it is proposed to erect a cottage for a suitable farm, under the Land Law (Ireland) Act, 1881; that on the said lands there are already three labourers cottages in possession of petitioners, in good repair and condition, and that no necessity exists for any other or additional cottages thereon; that petitioners do not require any labourers save those in their employment at present and that there are other tenants and farmers in the vicinity who hold large farms, and who have no labourers' cottages on their farms.	That the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order be confirmed (The Petition was withdrawn in this case.)	4 May 1887	ditto	ditto.
MULLINGAR	Lord Congleton	That he is owner in fee and occupier of lands in the Townland of Gainstown on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the representation was not signed in the manner and did not, at the time it was signed, contain the particulars set forth in Section 5 of 45 & 47 Vict. cap. 60, that no notice was served upon the parties required by Section 5.; that he is only in occupation of 3 acres 3 roods and 16 perches statute measure which he occupies in connection with his residence and adjoining demesne of Annaville, on which there are already two cottages one being vacant the greater portion of the townland, containing over 91 acres which is now in possession of two of the signatories to the representation on which the scheme was founded has not a single cottage upon it; that there is ample and sufficient house accommodation in the district for agricultural labourers, having regard to the ordinary requirements of the district, several cottages being vacant that the scheme interferes with his amenity of residence and unnecessarily encroaches upon lands used as a "home farm," and occupied with his residence, and that the site chosen is unsuitable, and selected without due regard to the convenience of his property	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	6 May 1887	9 April 1887: The Lord Chancellor Mr Justice Lawson. The Vice Chancellor Mr S. W. Flanagan. Mr Bigger. The O'Conor Don. Mr. Kavanagh. Mr. James Meares.
	George Augustus Rochfort Boyd.	That he is owner in fee of the lands in the Townland of Tyrrellspass wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that the representation was not signed in the manner, and did not, at the time it was signed, contain the particulars set forth in Section 5 of the Act of 1883 that the holding on which the cottage is proposed contains 14 statute acres, and if half an acre be taken for the purpose of the cottage it will leave the remainder valueless, as no one will take it, having regard to the circumstances set forth in following reason that the representation states that cottage is required for an evicted tenant that there is ample and sufficient house accommodation in the district for agricultural labourers having regard to the ordinary requirements, and that the person for whom the cottage is intended has already been provided with a good slated house.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for the cottage abandoned by guardians.)	4 May 1887	ditto	ditto.
	George Augustus Rochfort Boyd and John Seery	That they are owner and occupier respectively of the lands in the Townland of Rathmanidagh on which it is proposed to build a labourer's cottage; that the representation was not signed in the manner, and did not, at the time it was signed contain the particulars set forth in Section 5 of the Act of 1883 that the site chosen is unsuitable, and is situate on the best part of the holding, and taking of the site will cause great injury to the holding and lessen its value largely in excess of the compensation to be recovered, if there be any necessity for a labourer's cottage there is a suitable place for it upon the site of an old cottage a short distance north of the site chosen that there is no necessity for the erection of a cottage in the district as the requirements have been already provided for by a previous scheme under the Labourers Acts, that when the cottage is built it will be within view of the windows of the residence of one of the petitioners, and that the said petitioner has always been ready and willing to build a labourer's cottage on a more suitable site.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	4 May 1887	ditto	ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued*.

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector.	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
MULLINGAR—*continued* -	George Augustus Rochford Lloyd and John Dreben.	That they are owner and occupier, respectively, of the lands in the townland of Rathmanoogagh on which it is proposed to build a labourer's cottage. That the representation was not signed in the manner and did not at the time it was signed contain the particulars set forth in section 5 of the Act of 1883 that the site chosen is on the very best part of the holding and the taking of the proposed site would lessen the holding in value and usefulness in the occupier; that the proposed site was not the site originally selected by the sanitary authority, but was placed by mistake upon the holding, that there has already been a site selected for a cottage under a previous scheme and that it would be unjust that another cottage should be built on the same holding that there is no necessity for the erection of a cottage as the requirements of the Acts have been already provided for by a previous scheme, and that one of your petitioners, who is the owner of the property, is ready and willing to build cottages where either his tenants or he think that cottages are required that the sanitary authority are administering the Acts for the purpose of punishing their political opponents.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order. (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	6 May 1887	5 April 1887. The Lord Chancellor Mr. Justice Lawson. The Vice Chancellor. Mr. S. W. Flanagan. Mr Brown. The O Conor Don. Mr Kavanagh. Mr Justice Monroe.
	Julia Killian - -	That she is occupier of the lands in the townland of Newtown, wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that the representation was not signed in the manner and did not at the time it was signed comply with the requirements of section 5 of the Act of 1883; that the labourer for whom the cottage was required is dead, and that there is no necessity for the erection of a cottage in the division, as ample accommodation is provided by previous schemes that the scheme interferes with petitioner's amenity of residence, that petitioner does not require any labourer for the use of her own farm, and if there are any labourers required in the district they should be placed upon the lands of those farmers who require them.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	6 May 1887	ditto ditto.
	Fourteen Ratepayers of the Hacketstown Electoral Division.	That the representation with regard to the cottage proposed to be built in the Hacketstown Electoral Division was not signed in the manner, and did not at the time it was signed comply with the requirements of section 5 of the Act of 1883 that the labourer for whom the cottage was required is dead, and that there is no necessity for the erection of a cottage in the division, as ample accommodation is provided by previous schemes that they protest against the increase which will be made in the rates by the confirmation of any new schemes at a time when the farmers find it hard to meet their present liabilities.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	6 May 1887	ditto ditto.
CARLOW - - -	S. R. Carter - -	That he is the owner in fee and occupier of the lands of Meanvee, on which it is proposed to build a labourer's cottage, that the existing house accommodation for agricultural labourers and their families is not sufficient, having regard to the ordinary requirements of the district, that the person named as requiring accommodation is not an agricultural labourer, and is	ditto - ditto (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	6 May "	5 April 1887. The Lord Chancellor Mr Justice Lawson. The Vice Chancellor Judge Warren. Mr S. W. Flanagan.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

						Mr. Bruen. Tuke O'Connor Tuke. Mr. Lawrence. Mr. Justice Monroe.
John Kilkelly	-	-	-	-	-	
		That he appears to be one of the heads of Ballykilla, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that no evidence was given at the local inquiry as required by the Act; and that the Sanitary Authority have not complied with the provisions of the Act in not having inspected with due regard to the general direction and convenience of proprietors' property, so as to diminish the value thereof as little as possible, but on the contrary have been so reckless as to greatly diminish such value; that there is sufficient accommodation for the labourer for agricultural labourers and their families, and that there is no labourer's house on any of the farms in the neighbourhood of Ballykilla, and that the nearest labourer's house to the proposed site, which affords sufficient accommodation, is that one proposed was not selected pursuant to the provisions of the Act, and is unreliable, that the labourer for whom the cottage is intended resides in the village of Ardee, and that the house in which he resides (if fit for repair) could be repaired at a less cost than the erection of the proposed cottage would cost; that the sanitary officer is conversant with the provisions of the statute.	That the cottage objected to as unreliable from the position authorized by the Provisional Order (Evidence for the cottage abandoned by Guardians)	6 May 1867	ditto	ditto
William Lupton	-	-	-	-	-	
		That he is occupier of the lands of Rathmoyne, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that no evidence was given at the local inquiry as required by the Act; that he is a ratepayer and has a reasonable interest in the matter; that the nearest labourer's cottage to the proposed site is distant from it about one mile; that the nearest cottage to the proposed site which affords sufficient accommodation for the labourer is distant from it about one mile and a half; that the labourer for whom the cottage is intended resides in the village of Ardee, and that the house in which he resides (if unfit for repair) could be repaired at a less cost than the erection of the proposed cottage would cost; that the sanitary officer is conversant with the provisions of the statute.	That the cottage objected to as unreliable from the position authorized by the Provisional Order (Evidence for the cottage abandoned by Guardians)	6 May 1867	ditto	ditto
Elizabeth Dixon	-	-	-	-	-	
		That she is occupier of portion of the lands of Ilek, on which it is proposed to erect two labourers' cottages; that no evidence was given at the local inquiry as required by the Act; that the nearest cottage to the proposed site is distant from it about one mile; that the nearest cottage to the proposed site which affords sufficient accommodation for the labourer is distant from it about one mile and a half; that the labourer for whom the cottages are intended resides in the village of Ardee, and that the house in which he resides (if unfit for repair) could be repaired at a less cost than the erection of the proposed cottages would cost; that the sanitary officer is conversant with the provisions of the statute.	Site to be substituted for the site of the cottages objected to.	6 May 1867	ditto	ditto
MAIL	-	-	-	-	-	
		That he is occupier of the lands of Ilek, on which it is proposed to erect two labourers' cottages; that no evidence was given at the local inquiry as required by the Act; that the nearest cottage to the proposed site is distant from it about one mile; that the nearest cottage to the proposed site which affords sufficient accommodation for the labourer is distant from it about one mile and a half; that the labourer for whom the cottages are intended resides in the village of Ardee, and that the house in which he resides (if unfit for repair) could be repaired at a less cost than the erection of the proposed cottages would cost; that the sanitary officer is conversant with the provisions of the statute.	Site to be substituted for the site of the cottages objected to.	6 May 1867	ditto	ditto

6 April 1867.
The Lord Chancellor.
The Earl of Dudley.
The Lord Chief Justice.
The Vice Chancellor.
Judge Warren.
Mr. O'Connor Don.
Mr. Justice Monroe.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector	Grounds of Objection	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
NAAS—*continued*	James Denny	That he is the occupier of the lands at Maddenstown North, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that the said lands contain about 18 acres, which are under grass on which there is a house with garden attached occupied by the applicant that it would be very detrimental to the farm to have the said cottage erected thereon, having regard to the fact that a cottage is already there with the required quantity of land that there are several large farms adjoining which would furnish more convenient sites, and that his farm would be greatly deteriorated in value if the said cottage be erected	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	5 May 1887	6 April 1887 The Lord Chancellor The Earl of Belmore Mr Justice Lawson. The Lord Chief Justice The Vice Chancellor Judge Warren. The Chief Secretary Mr Naish Mr Justice Monroe
	W F Littledale and Robert Johnson Goff	That they are owners as executors and testamentary guardians of William Taylor of the lands in the townland of Morristownbiller, wherein it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages that the said cottages are wholly unnecessary, as there is already a sufficient number of dwellings in the district for agricultural labourers and their families, having regard to the ordinary requirements that the sites selected are unsuitable, and are objected to by the Rev Mr O'Connor, on account of their close proximity to his glebe house that there is no water supply the occupiers of the existing cottage being supplied with water from the pump in the Rev Mr O'Connor's yard that said lands are situate within a very short distance of the town of Newbridge where there is plenty of accommodation and where they have heretofore resided that no sufficient reasons for the said cottages have been proved to exist that petitioners at the inquiry offered a site in lieu of the existing cottage which was not accepted.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	8 May	ditto ditto
	Charlotte Powell and Christopher Groves.	That they are owner and lessee respectively of the lands of Great Connell, on which it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages, that the duplicate copy of the scheme in respect of the Newbridge Dispensary District deposited in the office of the Clerk of the Union, is not a true copy of the said scheme lodged with the Local Government Board inasmuch as there is a fourth schedule thereto which is referred to in the body thereof in which said fourth schedule petitioners' names are set forth as the persons by whom the scheme was proposed to be carried out, whereas it appeared at the inquiry that there was no fourth schedule to the scheme lodged with the Local Government Board, and that petitioners names are in the third schedule thereto. That the provisions of the various requirements of the Acts were not carried out, and that they were consequently misled as to the intent of the scheme that the sites selected are unsuitable and that the water supply is at too great distance nearly a mile, to which supply one of the petitioners has an exclusive right, and can thus prevent the tenant of the proposed cottage receiving a supply from that source that no labourers cottages are required on the said lands, as these lands are mostly in grass and those who want labourers have houses for them on their farms, particularly the gentleman upon whose farm the sites are placed; that, therefore, it is unnecessary to burden the ratepayers with the expense of building more labourers cottages	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	6 May	ditto ditto.
	W A. Cooper	That he is owner and occupier of the lands of Wheelan, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that he was prevented from attending at the local inquiry as he did not receive a notice that there was no reason why his land was selected in preference to those ratepayers who signed the representation that there is no want of house accommodation on his farm as he requires only one man, except at the haymaking season when he finds no difficulty in obtaining as many as he requires also that an adjoining resident was about to throw down a labourer's cottage as there was no want for it that the erection of a cottage on his farm would be a great injury, as the occupant would be sure to break his fences for fire-wood.	That the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order be confirmed	6 May 1887	ditto ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

692. B	Elizabeth Loughlin	That she is tenant from year to year of the lands of Funchorgrange, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage: that there is no necessity for such cottage, that she employs five labourers on the lands, three of whom have residences with suitable plots, and two of whom reside in the same dwelling house with her: that there is a good labourer's cottage on the farm in which there is no labourer living, but is inhabited by a widow and she offered this cottage with a suitable allotment of land, but the offer has been rejected; that the site in question was placed on her property, although five out of the seven members of the committee who selected the sites signed a memorial to the guardians against it; that a petition signed by 15 ratepayers (of whom she was one) against the erection of the said cottage, was declared informal, as was also a petition by her landlord; that the site of the said cottage was placed on his land by the action of the guardians of the district who was actuated by malice.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme as thereof by the Provisional Order	6 May 1877	ditto	ditto.
	CROOM Francis Massy Drew	That he is owner in fee and in occupation of the lands of Drews-court, Kent, whereon it is proposed to erect four labourer's cottages: that he resides on said lands, and that the portion proposed to be taken is in a field which has always been used as a portion of the "home farm" belonging to his residence, and which adjoins and has always been occupied with his residence; that he has already on the said lands twelve cottages for the accommodation of his labourers; that the erection of the said cottages will materially diminish the value of the lands, as it will be impossible to use the said field to advantage if labourers and their families are settled there: that there is no necessity for any of the said cottages as there are already a sufficient number of labourers in the district having regard to its requirements.	That three of the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme as thereof by the Provisional Order	6 May "	6 April 1877. The Lord Chancellor. Mr Justice Lawson. Judge Warren. Mr Nash. Mr Justice Monroe.	
	Denis Shelian	That he is owner in fee and occupier of the lands of Rossmore, on the townland of Creghtson, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, and is occupier of the lands of Oaherclogh, on which it is proposed to build two cottages. As regards the site on the townland of Creghtson:—That he received no notice of the representation made to the Sanitary Authority under the Acts: that the site on which it is proposed to erect the said cottage is within thirty yards of his back avenue gate and within ten yards of his demesne fence; that the site is placed on land used by him as a "home farm," and which is immediately adjoining to and usually occupied in connexion with his residence: that he proposes to build a back gate lodge on the same site as is now selected for a labourer's cottage, and the taking of the land proposed would seriously interfere with his plans, and injuriously affect and diminish the value of his property, rendering the remainder of the field almost valueless; that there are already too many labourers in the district: that additional labourers could not find employment, and that all the labourers are well and sufficiently housed; that the placing of the cottage on land was an act of political malice, and intended to cause him annoyance and inconvenience. With regard to the two cottages proposed on the townland of Oaherclogh:—That the land proposed to be taken is part of a grazing farm occupied and worked by him as a "home farm" in connexion with his residence; that there is already on the said lands a good residence capable of accommodating two labourers families, which, with one of the cottages proposed to be erected, would afford ample accommodation for all the labourers required in the locality: that the sites of the proposed cottages are unsuitable and inconvenient: that there is no water supply at hand, and to obtain such the occupants would have to make a passage over his lands for a considerable distance, and even the supply then obtained would be inadequate and uncertain.	That the cottage proposed to be built in the townland of Creghtson be excluded from the scheme as thereof by the Provisional Order, and that sites be substituted for the sites of the two cottages proposed to be built on the townland of Oaherclogh.	6 May "	ditto	ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued*.

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector.	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
Croom—*continued* - -	Lord Lucanfield	That he is owner in fee-simple as tenant for life of the lands of Pontlalloon, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the taking of the said plot would cut off the tenant's means of access to the rest of his holding; and that such site is unsuitable in other respects, that he believes that it would be an injustice and serious damage to his tenant to take said portion of land from him.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for the cottage abandoned by guardians.)	3 May 1897	6 April 1897 The Lord Chancellor Mr Justice Lawson Judge Warren. Mr Naish. Mr Justice Monroe.
	Richard O'Regan -	That he is occupier of part of the lands of Pontlalloon, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the taking of the said plot would cut off access to the rest of his farm, and that the site proposed is unsuitable and inconvenient; that it would be a great injustice and irremediable damage to take said portion of his land.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for that cottage abandoned by guardians.)	5 May 1897	ditto ditto.
	Edmond John Synan	That he is owner in fee of the lands of Carnane, whereon it is proposed to erect four labourers cottages; that the proposed sites are in a grass field of about 40 statute acres in extent, fenced on two sides by a wall of solid masonry and which field is thoroughly drained where the proposed sites are selected; that the centre of the main drain passes through the middle of the sites of the four cottages, and another drain passes through and under the said sites. Petitioner submits that, on the ground of sanitation, the selection of those sites is highly objectionable, and is most injurious to him; and that his system of drainage would be rendered valueless, and that he would be unable to gain access to his drains when out of order; that the lands do not define the farm or portion of the fields for which the allotments are to be assigned, and that the arrangement by which the sites are shown as detached is objectionable and injurious to him, as they may have the effect of destroying his drainage, besides inconveniently parcelling his field; that there is no necessity for the guardians ordinarily selecting the said sites as he was, and is still, willing to give them any site they may select for a sufficient number of cottages on the arable land in the immediate vicinity and that he had actually drawn stones to build at his own expense two cottages, which he considers sufficient, when the guardians interfered, and by their selecting other sites prevented him building the cottages he proposed; that he is still willing to erect those cottages if the guardians will permit him.	Sites to be substituted for those objected to.	5 May 1897	ditto ditto.
	Thomas Brodersek -	That he is occupier of the lands of Cahershoff, on which it is proposed to build a labourer's cottage that he was informed by the guardian of the division that the site had been dropped as there was no necessity for it, and that otherwise he would have appeared at the local inquiry that he seldom employs any labourers, and the district is not in need of the accommodation.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for the cottage abandoned by guardians.)	3 May "	- ditto - ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

	James P. Power -	That he is tenant, and in occupation of the lands in the townland of Rathmore South whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that he resides on said lands, and that the portion proposed to be taken is in a field close to his entrance gate, and within a short distance of his house that if will materially diminish the value of his lands if said cottage be built thereon as such cottage would be in view of his house, and would destroy the privacy and amenity of his residence, and that the occupant of the cottage would give annoyance in many ways to persons residing on the lands; that the proposed site was not selected by the Sanitary Authority with regard to the situation and convenience of his property that there is no water supply within a distance nearer than an Irish mile, that there is no necessity for said cottage, as there are quite enough cottages in the district already, that his co-tenants have a right of way for the purpose of driving hay either over the site of the proposed cottage or through his lawn and then through his lands that he requires the said lands for the purpose of erecting and completing the road wall to his lands for planting that the site was always objected to by him, and that he was in consequence boycotted.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	6 May 1887	- ditto ditto.
	Timothy Molony	That he is occupier of the lands in the townland of Rathmore, South, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the said cottage is not needed, and that a labourer's dwelling is all respects suitable and sanitary already exists on his holding, to which is attached a fenced and suitable plot of half an acre as garden; that his holding consists of 67 acres, and is almost entirely pasture that the plot proposed to be taken is half a mile or more from the nearest available water supply and to obtain water a well would have to be sunk through solid rock for more than 30 yards, that the site selected is that which would most of all diminish the value of his property and injure it to the greatest degree, as the field in the best on his farm, and is practically the only meadow thereon, which field he has used for years for the purpose of rearing delicate cattle in winter time that, in consequence, of possessing this meadow he is excluded from the right to common meadow, which pertains to the other farms in the townland, the plot selected is part of a field of only five acres adjoining his laggard kitchen garden, and residence, and that a cottage, if erected on the site selected would lessen the comfort and convenience of his residence by reason of being so near, that an unfriendly occupier of that cottage could make his position more than irksome, that the site proposed was originally selected by a labourer and not by a guardian or ratepayer, and was always objected to by him and that he was in consequence boycotted that the site was selected without due regard to his wishes, convenience, or interests, and that the Labourers Acts, as used in this instance, cause needless annoyance and injury to him	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	6 May "	ditto ditto.
CLONAKILTY	The Rev Horace W Townsend.	That he is owner in fee of the lands in the townland of Clonecorrin, on which it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages that the farm on which it is proposed to erect the said cottages is one from which the tenant has been evicted; that one of the said two cottages is intended for the tenant who was evicted, and the other for a man who is unable to work, and was an applicant for outdoor relief that it is for the purpose of annoyance, and not for the bona fide purpose of providing accommodation for labourers, that it is proposed to place these two cottages on his lands at Clonecorrin and that if a cottage be placed on this farm the evicted tenant will be put into it, and it will be impossible to set or work the farm with profit, if the said evicted tenant be placed in possession that petitioner employs 14 labourers, and has some comfortable substantial houses on his lands, which he considers quite sufficient accommodation for the labour he requires that there is no want of additional accommodation for labourers in the locality and that, if required, they could be easily procured in the neighbouring village of Rosscarbery that if labourers' cottages are required there are plenty of sites quite as convenient on other farms in the locality, without putting the said cottages on an evicted farm which would most seriously injure his interests, that the fixing of the sites of the cottages for said purposes on his farm was not bona fide, and same are wholly unnecessary	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	27 June 1887	20 May 1887 The Lord Chancellor The Earl of Belmore. The Vice Chancellor Mr S. W Flanagan. Mr Justice Monroe.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued*.

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
CLONAKILTY—*continued* -	Barnabas B. Deane	That he is lessee of the lands in the townland of Tullynosky, West, wherson it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages; that the applicant for one of the cottages is not an agricultural labourer but a dealer in eggs, and the second cottage is for a man who wished it to be erected on another farm, but the guardians selected this farm instead; that he, having taken a farm from which the tenant was evicted for non payment of rent, was boycotted and put to considerable inconvenience, and subjected to petty annoyances; that it was for the foregoing reason, and for the purpose of annoyance, and not to provide accommodation for labourers, that the two cottages were placed on his farm, as all the elected guardians are members of the National League; that there are at present six labourers cottages on his farm, four of which are occupied, and two vacant, the occupants of which supply more than sufficient labour for his requirements; that there is no want whatever for additional accommodation for labourers in the district, several labourers having to go miles away to get work, and two labourers living on the farm having to go a distance of two or three miles a day to procure work; that the fixing of three sites for said proposed cottages on his farm was not *bonâ fide*, and same are wholly unnecessary.	Sites to be substituted for the two objected to.	27 June 1887	23 May 1887 The Lord Chancellor The Earl of Belmore. The Vice Chancellor. Mr. S. W. Flanagan. Mr Justice Monroe.
Ditto - - -		That he is tenant from year to year of the lands of Ballintemple on which it is proposed to build a labourer's cottage; that having taken the lands of Ballintemple, from which the previous tenant was evicted for non-payment of rent, he was, in consequence, boycotted that for some time he suffered great inconvenience and was subjected to persecution and annoyance, his property being injured, for which he was awarded compensation by the grand jury of the county that nearly all the elected guardians are members of the National League, and that it was on account of his taking this evicted farm and not for the purpose of providing accommodation for labourers that it is proposed to place the cottage on his farm at Ballintemple; that the application for this cottage is made by one of the tenants who had been evicted from the said farm, and that if this evicted tenant be put into the possession of this house, it will be utterly impossible to work the farm with comfort or profit that the sanitary officer for the district swore at the local inquiry that there was no necessity whatever for additional accommodation for labourers in the district; that the petitioner stated at the said local inquiry that there was two substantial dwelling houses on the farm, one of which was occupied by a labourer of his, and the other a substantial dwelling-house was vacant and that he was willing to let the house to any labourer in the district that wanted it, at even a nominal rent but as there was no want of accommodation, no one accepted it, and it is still vacant; that in the adjoining village of Ring there are several unoccupied houses, for which an labourer or tenant can be got that the fixing of this site for said proposed cottage is *not bonâ fide*, and same is wholly unnecessary	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	27 June "	ditto — ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

LIMERICK	Michael Roche Kelly	That he is a lessee and occupier of the lands in the townland of Fountown, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the centre farm on which the site is placed is an grass land acting as land agent for his brother, he refused abatements in rents to tenants (amongst whom was the father of the guardian of the division) and shortly afterwards his property was injured, his herdsman refused to work for him, and he was compelled to eject the said herdsman from the house he held on the said farm, which has been since unoccupied as he could not get either a person to occupy the house or to work on the farm; that no labourer's cottage is required on the farm; that nearly all the land in the neighbourhood is grazing land, and that the existing house accommodation for agricultural labourers is sufficient for the district that a labourer's cottage was proposed to be built on said farm by an improvement scheme made in 1884 which was not recommended by the inspector who held the local inquiry, owing to his evidence.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme endorsed by the Provisional Order	27 June 1887	20 May 1887 The Vice Chancellor Mr S. W. Flanagan. Mr Ernan. Mr. Justice Monroe. 21 May 1887 (adjourned meeting) The Earl of Belmore. The Vice Chancellor Mr S. W. Flanagan. Mr. Justice Monroe.
	John Hourigan	That he is in occupation of the farm on the townland of Gouldrahar on which it is proposed to erect a cottage. That he some time since agreed to give a site for one cottage, but objects strongly to the second site now proposed, that the site of the proposed cottage is in his hay haggard and alongside his barn, and is the highest term bemavement to him that he has on his farm four labourers houses and a blacksmith's forge, and that there are more labourers houses on his farm than on any other farm in the district, that the guardians cognisant admitted that it was wrong to plan this second cottage on his farm that the guardian of the district, although he has upwards of 80 acres, has no cottage on his farm, nor has a cottage been placed on the lands of other large farmers in the district, and in some cases the guardian abandoned the sites on threat of his election being opposed; that the distribution of cottages in the district is wholly unfair	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme endorsed by the Provisional Order. (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	27 June „	ditto ditto.
	Sir David Vandeleur Roche.	That he is owner of the lands of Ballyannoon, on which it is proposed to build three labourers cottages, that the sites are most unsuitable, two cutting up a large field, and the other being equally unsuitable; that labourers are not wanted in the locality, and that the tenant has provided suitable accommodation for those he requires, that in the lower district, where there are large farms, the guardian has not placed cottages thereon that the lands on which it is proposed to erect the cottages are within two miles of Limerick, and if extra labour is required it is easy to get.	That two of the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order and that a site be substituted for the site of the remaining one.	27 June 1887	ditto ditto.
	Patrick Mitchell	That he is in occupation of part of the lands of Quinspool North on which it is proposed to erect a cottage that the said lands have always been used by him for the purpose of accommodating and resting cattle purchased by him at local fairs and markets for shipped, that the said lands only contain 12 acres, as part of which is under tillage only three acres thereof being any good, and the portion which is proposed to be taken is in the best part of the three good acres aforesaid the taking of which would materially diminish the value of his lands, and prevent him from using his farm to advantage that the proposed site was not selected as a fund fide manner by the military authority but was put on his farm by the guardian of the district for having voted against his election as poor law guardian, and that there are large farms adjoining on which no cottage has been placed; that there is no necessity for said cottage, as there is no tillage of any account in the neighbourhood, the whole of the neighbouring lands being under grass, that he is a struggling dealer, having 12 in family whom he endeavours to support by his labour, and that he will be unable to do so if the said cottage be placed on his land.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	27 June „	ditto ditto

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued.*

Poor Law Union	Name of Objector.	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
LIMERICK—*continued.*	Charles O'Neill, sen.	That he is occupier of the lands in the townland of Drumlaney, containing about 34 acres, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that he has a family of 10 children, with whose aid he is more than fully competent to work his said farm; that his solicitor was informed by Mr Ryan, solicitor of Limerick that the said cottage was placed on his farm instead of on the farm of his nephew, Charles O'Neill, junior where it was first placed that he received no notice of any kind or of the local inquiry and that he believes it was through spite the said cottage was fixed on his farm, as he voted against the guardian of the division.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	27 June 1887	30 May 1887 The Vice Chancellor Mr S. W. Flanagan. Mr. Byrne Mr. Justice Monroe. 21 May 1887 (adjourned meeting) The Earl of Belmore The Vice Chancellor Mr S. W. Flanagan. Mr Justice Monroe
	Michael Naughton	That he is in occupation of the lands in the townland of Newtown wherson it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that he has one labourer constantly employed on his farm, who resides in a good substantial house thereon, and holds half an acre of said lands in tillage from him that the person for whom the cottage is intended is not a regular labourer adapted to farming work, but an army pensioner, and that there are no regular labourers in the district who require cottages that the farm is too small for the erection of a second labourer's cottage thereon that the help given him by the labourer is fully sufficient to work the same.	That the Provisional Order be confirmed.	27 June „	ditto — ditto
	John Fitzgerald -	That he is occupier of the farm, containing about 28 acres, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that he has a large family, and does not, therefore, require the aid of a labourer to work his farm, which is nearly all in pasture; that the labourer for whom the cottage is intended works for a gentleman who lives about three miles from Limerick, and that the said labourer resides in a good substantial house in which he carries on a huckstery business, and is also a gardener and market man that there are no labouring men who require cottages in the district and that if all the cottages are erected, as intended, a large number of the occupants will be left idle; that there are several large tenant-farmers in the district on whose no cottage has been placed and that the site was placed on his farm through spite, as he voted against the present Poor Law Guardian that he was informed by the applicant for the proposed cottage the the guardian of the district told him to get the site on petitioner's farm and remove it off his own, that the site selected is on a "borheen," and not a county road, and it would be open to grave objection if the said labourer obtained the proposed cottage	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	27 June „	ditto ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Rev. A. MacLaugh-lin.	-	That he is tenant and occupier of the lands of Drumcullagh, wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage to which he objects: that he occupies three farms, containing together 116 acres, Irish plantation measure, on which there are 11 labourers' cottages, only 5 of which are in use: that these are provided with half an acre (free of rent) each: that from 30 perches to half an acre (that the exact form of Drumcullagh is in dispute) were taken from each of these cottages and added to the land there in grass: that there is no scarcity of labour accommodation in the neighbourhood, as there are 12 cottages in the village of Carrickmacross, and 6 in the village of Magheracloone, and 4 in the village of Corcreeny, and 4 in the village of Castleshane, and 2 in the village of Donaghmoyne, and 2 in the village of Tullycorbet, and 2 in the village of Ballybay, and 2 in the village of Shercock, and 2 in the village of Cootehill, and 2 in the village of Kingscourt, and 2 in the village of Bailieborough, and 2 in the village of Castleblayney

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland, &c.—continued.*

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector	Grounds of Objection	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
LIMERICK—*continued*	Lord Clarina	That he is owner of the lands in the Electoral Division of Clarina, on which it is proposed to erect six cottages; that the lands referred to are chiefly rich pasturage lands the area of which is 748 acres, of which 500 acres are farmed by him and his brother, that he has sufficiently good cottages for every labourer he employs and that as the small portion of this property let to tenants consists mainly of grass lands, there is no necessity for labourers' houses to be built there and that if erected, labourers would have to be imported from townlands belonging to other proprietors to occupy them; that no cottages are required to be erected on his estate, as he farms the greater portion of it himself and has some years since built excellent labourers' cottages, consequently that all the labourers on his estate are housed rent free and that these labourers and their families supply sufficient hands for the greater portion of the year; that the occupants of these proposed cottages will not be able to pay the rent, and that the deficit will fall on the ratepayers of the division; that an unjust increase to his poor rate will be caused if the Privy Council permit the charge of the erection of the 23 cottages proposed in the Clarina Electoral Division, and of the 17 cottages proposed in the Carrig Electoral Division to be levied off the whole area of these two Electoral Divisions, as it would place petitioner, who has expended large sums of money on building such cottages, on the same footing as other proprietors in those divisions who have disbursed nothing on similar undertakings	That two of the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	27 June 1887	20 May 1887 The Vice Chancellor Mr. S. W. Flanagan. Mr. Brown. Mr. Justice Monroe. 21 May 1887 (adjourned meeting) The Earl of Belmore. The Vice Chancellor Mr. S. W. Flanagan. Mr. Justice Monroe.
	J. Wilson Lynch and J. E. Graham.	That they are owner and occupier respectively of the lands on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that one of them lodged a dissent with the solicitor of the sanitary authority against the proposed site, notwithstanding which no notice of the subsequent inquiry held by the Local Government Inspector was given to either owner or occupier; that the site chosen for the cottage is a grazing farm of moderate size which cannot possibly give employment to a labourer and there are no persons resident in the neighbourhood who are in a position to find work for an additional labourer; that various other cottages have been erected in the immediate vicinity under the Acts or from other sources, and the demand being limited the supply is in excess of the present or probable future needs of the district; that while desiring to see the existing dwellings of the poor made more decent and habitable they protest against the proposed increase of the rates payable in this already over-burthened division.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	27 June „	- ditto ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Robert Browne.	Listrull	That in excess of Gurteboy House and demesne, on the lands of which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; but by a previous minute made in 1885 it was proposed to erect two cottages on said lands, but which, being objected, was rejected by the Local Government Inspector, who said the tenancy contained therein was very small, and that the cottages formerly stood and were for many years used by the labourers employed on the demesne lands of Gurteboy; that one of said cottages was burned down about four years ago and the other removed, but is in a dilapidated state; that the tenant of the said lands in the said tenancy proposed to build two new cottages instead, for the purpose of escaping his liability to rebuild said former two cottages, that petitioner attended before Mr. Meden, and stated that if two new cottages were to be erected as he had no objection to the cottages being placed on the adjoining farmland of Fellowing, in two lots as said boundary would be at the end of Christian's land, but that the cottages are wholly unnecessary, and would only enable the said tenant to evade the performance of the conditions covenants in his two leases as the occupier of the tenancy.	£7 2ons	ditto	ditto.	ditto	ditto
John Phelps.	Vandaleur	That is in excess of the townland of Gortcreagh on which it is proposed to erect three cottages, one each on lots 1, 2, and 3 and there are nine small farmers' holdings, from five to 10 acres each, the number of whose occupants number over 30 persons; that the members of all the said families work on their holdings sufficient work to employ a man and a half, but they cannot get employment work, that three labourers' cottages have already been erected on said townland, that no notice was served on him, and when he again attended before the Local Government Inspector at the sessions at Milltown Kerry, he was informed by the said Inspector, Mr. William Bromley, J.P., was appointed as the owner, but again was ordered that the application, so far as it relates to the said three cottages, be included in the order; that said cottages are not wanted in the locality, that there are no labourers employed on the said lands, and that the applicant is entirely opposed to the erection of said cottages for labourers and their families, as all the labour required is supplied by the small farmers and their families who are constantly in want of employment.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Salmons for three cottages abandoned by provisions)	£7 2ons 1887	ditto	ditto.
Jesse Vaughan	-	That he is occupier of the land of Gortnagloch whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that he holds only 25 acres of land rented to him by Mr. Hall, and contains only three acres of meadow, the rest of his land consists only of rough mountain land, and is not worth more than £5 per annum; that he has only one cow, and that he will be compelled to sell it, if the said cottage is erected, as he will not be able to keep it; that there are nine families living on his property, and that they have only a small quantity of land, and that the said cottage will enable them to get labourers' cottages, but that they are not required, as there are enough of cottages on the estate, and that the said cottage will only enable the said tenants to evade the conditions of their leases.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Salmons for the cottage abandoned by provisions)	£7 2ons 1887	ditto	ditto

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued*.

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
LIMERICK—*continued*	Richard Mark Synnot Maunsell.	That he is in occupation of the lands on which it is proposed to erect two cottages that the sites of the proposed cottages are on lands attached to the mansion house of Mount Catherine, and the building of which would seriously interfere with the amenity of residence in said mansion house, as no one would care to live in a house on the lands attached to which are two labourers' cottages immediately at the cross road leading to the avenue that the demesne lands attached to the mansion house (which is at present unoccupied) are formed as a "home farm" in connexion with his residence at Strand House that there is already sufficient house accommodation in the district, and if new houses are built occupants for them will have to be imported into the townland, where there are at present quite enough labourers, as it is entirely a grazing district, with scarcely any tillage.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	27 June 1887	20 May 1887. The Vice Chancellor. Mr R. W. Flanagan. Mr Dyas. Mr Jordan Monroe. 21 May 1887 (Adjourned Meeting) The Earl of Belmore The Vice Chancellor. Mr R. W. Flanagan. Mr Justice Monroe.
	William Rhodeberr Goggin.	That he is occupier of the lands in the townland of Cannagh, West, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, that said holding consists of about 164 acres of "conacre" land, on which he has a house occupied by a caretaker, who is also employed as a water bailiff that he has five labourers' cottages on his lands at Burton Hill occupied by his own labourers, to whom he gives constant employment, and whom services he makes use of when required on the lands of Cannagh aforesaid, that the plot marked out for said cottage and half acre in a small hill which is the only half acre on the entire farm free from floods, and upon which for every year out has up his ricks of hay or corn, that it is the entrance from the high road to the farm on which entrance are a pair of stone posts and an iron gate, and that it would be very costly to remove said entrance and make a new one elsewhere	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	27 June 1887	ditto - ditto.
	Margaret Hall	That she is occupier of the lands in the townland of Cannagh, East, on which it is proposed to build three labourers' cottages, that the said lands comprise nearly 36 acres, that the particular portion on which the cottages are sought to be put is all 'conacre' and grazing land, with the exception of three acres, which she sets for 11 months out of every year for meadow and grazing purposes that there are already two substantially built houses on said farm, one occupied by a caretaker, the other unoccupied, the latter of which she is willing to sell her interest in, together with two half acres of land, that the two half acres of said farm which she proposes to give are tillage land, while that which the guardians propose to acquire is meadow land and wholly unsuitable from its dampness for such a purpose that no notice was removed by her of the sitting of the Local Government Inspector and that she is unable to ascertain exactly the position of Site No. 3 which as pointed out by the guardian of the district, is on her land, but the engineer of the sanitary authority has declared it is on the land of an adjoining tenant.	That one of the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order, and that sites be substituted for the sites of the two remaining cottages.	27 June 1887	ditto ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Fitzjames Kelly	That he is occupier of the lands in the townland of Carrowmorrilbeg whereon it is proposed to erect two cottages that he resides at Ballintlea Park, which is situate in and surrounded by a demesne containing about 114 Irish acres, and that portion of the said lands proposed to be acquired is a "Home Farm" worked in connection with said demesne of Ballintlea Park that the said farm of Carrowmorrilbeg immediately adjoins the said demesne, and that the proposed cottages if built, would interfere with the amenity of said residence being within view and that the building of the said cottages would materially deteriorate the value of his property on account of the position in which they are placed that the proposed cottages are not required, as there are no labourers in the district requiring house accommodation, and some of the said labourers find it very difficult to obtain work that he employs four labourers regularly, all of whom have comfortable houses with land attached thereto, that two cottages on the same site as now sought for were proposed by a scheme made in 1883, but not being objected to at the local inquiry they were not recommended by the Local Government Inspector; that the notices required by the 7th Section of the Labourers' (Ireland) Act, 1883, were not served on the landlord and owner of the said lands.	Sites to be substituted for those objected to.	27 June 1887	ditto	ditto
Mildred Jevers	That she is the occupier of the lands in the townland of Mountivers, on which it is proposed to build two cottages that the said lands are demesne lands or home farms, that heretofore the permitted two cottages to be built as the said lands through mistake, and that she has also five labourers cottages on her holding all of them with land attached thereto that the applicant for one of the cottages is a grazier living on an adjoining farm and that she has given applicant a mother a portion of land for her benefit at a nominal rent that one of the cottages on her lands is vacant and no applicant for it, that of the two houses put into the two labourers' cottages built by the guardians, one was brought from an estate more than two miles distant, and the other was in the occupation of a good caretaker; that only one cottage is placed on the adjoining electoral division at Castlecomer, while six are placed on Mountivers Division, in addition to two cottages heretofore placed thereon, none having been hitherto built in Castlecomer Electoral Division.	That the Provisional Order be confirmed. (One of the cottages objected to was not recommended by the inspector and therefore was not included in the Provisional Order.)	27 June 1887	ditto	ditto.
William Francis O'Grady	That he is the occupier of the lands in the townland of Ballybrassog, South, whereon it is proposed to build a labourer's cottage that the representations to the sanitary authority for the erection of two labourers' cottages in the said townland and electoral division, was not signed by 12 or any ratepayers of said division or area upon which the costs of the scheme was proposed to be charged that the portion of the land on which the site is situate contains altogether about nine acres, and on the said lands there are at present two labourers' cottages, while adjoining it are several more belonging to other occupiers, that the erection of the proposed cottage is not required in the district, there being already more than sufficient accommodation for all labourers resident thereon that this cottage is intended for the accommodation of a man residing at present at Bottyvilla in the Cresin Union that the proposed site is objectionable as it is the only site on his holding which is suitable for the erection of a dwelling-house which he intends to build if an appeal of the landlord to resume possession, which is now pending, be decided in his favour	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	27 June 1887	ditto	ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued*.

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector.	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
LISTOWEL	Gerard F O Callaghan.	That he is owner and occupier of the lands of Coolnahow whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the proposed cottage is unnecessary and that the site is objectionable, being in front of his residence and that the rear of the cottage would be in view from the windows of his house that the site is on the best portion of his land, and if permitted to be erected would lessen the value of his lands that the said lands contain about 200 acres on which he and his father (his predecessor in title) have expended large sums of money in reclamation, drainage &c and in substantial buildings; that he has at present five substantial labourers cottages on his lands with allotments of land to each cottage, and that the occupiers of said cottages would not exchange to those proposed to be to be erected by the guardians as they would be at too great a distance from their work; that he agrees, should a cottage be deemed necessary to give an alternative site at a place more suitable and more convenient for any labourer than the site proposed that there are many labourers in and about the site proposed those can obtain employment, as the greater part of the farming done in the locality is by the small farmers and their sons.	Site to be substituted for the one objected to	27 June 1887	23 May 1887 The Lord Chancellor The Earl of Belmore. The Vice Chancellor Mr S W Flanagan Mr Justice Monroe
CORK	Colonel W D Marsh, R.E. on behalf of the Secretary of State for War	That the lands of Ballincollig, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, are vested in him as Secretary of State for War by lease for a term of 999 years; that there is sufficient and suitable house accommodation for agricultural labourers and their families in the district there being at present four labourers cottages on the portion of the lands proposed to be taken by the guardians; that the site has not been selected with due regard to the convenience of his property as such Secretary of State, and that the value of the remainder thereof will be greatly diminished; that the lands proposed to be taken are unsuitable and inconvenient for the erection of a labourer's cottage thereon, inasmuch as there can be no approach from the public road of Ballincollig to this spot, except through the gates of the military barracks, along the private road belonging to the War Department; and that the gates of the said barracks are closed every night at 10 o'clock after which time no person is permitted by the military authorities to pass until six o'clock in the morning save the representatives of Sir Thomas Tobin who have a right of passage to their powder mills; that the site was not selected pursuant to the provisions of the said Acts; that he was not represented at the local inquiry as he got no notice otherwise he would have appeared to object to the scheme; that he is owner of the said property for public purposes as representing the Crown, and the portion of the lands in the occupation of Edward Maguer (on which the cottage is proposed to be built) is held by him subject to certain rights of user for purposes of military exercise and may at any time be otherwise required for the purposes of the War Department, therefore that the provisions of the said Acts were not intended to apply to the said lands.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for the cottage abandoned by guardians)	27 June 1887	ditto - ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Edward Cashman Warren.	That he is owner in fee-simple of part of the lands of Ballanally containing 54 acres, on which it is proposed to erect two cottages; that he was not served with the preliminary notice of the guardians intention to take any portion of his lands and that if he received notice he would have attended before the Local Government Inspector to object to the said sites that the sites selected are on demesne lands, which are surrounded with a high wall, save where the lawn and lands slope down to the sea, and are planted with ornamental timber, that if the sites of the proposed cottages be sanctioned, a portion of the said demesne wall must be broken down that there is no want or necessity whatever for additional house accommodation for labourers in the district as the village of Ballanally immediately adjoins the northern boundary of the said land, in which there is ample accommodation for the labourers required by the farmers of the locality, that the fixing of the said sites was not bond fide and same was wholly unnecessary, and that the lands being demesne lands, cannot be interfered with.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorized by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	27 June 1887	ditto	ditto.
Joshua H Cooper	That he is owner of the lands of Ballinrannig whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the site selected is not suitable for the purpose as it is at the bottom of a water meadow, that the townland of Ballinrannig is occupied by three farmers who have sufficient labour with house accommodation, and that the farm on which the site is situate is worked by a farmer and his five sons, who live with him that labour is not required in the locality and that a labourer would not get employment that neither he nor the tenant was informed when the inquiry would take place nor when the site was to be selected.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order. (Scheme for the cottage abandoned by guardians.)	27 June 1887	ditto	ditto
Robert Hodgen Hyde White.	That he is owner in fee simple of the lands of Raffeen whereon it is proposed to erect three labourers cottages, that the sites are situated on a small farm nearly opposite the entrance gate of his demesne and house, from which it is merely separated by the public road that the farm consists but 26 acres, and is used as a home farm as an adjunct to and worked in connection with his demesne lands, that he is laying down the entire farm on which the cottages are proposed to be built to permanent pasturage, and of the present time the sites selected have been sown with corn and hay seed with that object, and that no sites could be chosen in the immediate neighbourhood more calculated to inconvenience him and deteriorate the value of his property that the erection of the said cottages would be an irreparable injury to the amenity of the residence of Raffeen House and demesne and would materially depreciate in letting or selling value as the residence being situate in a picturesque country within five or six miles of the City of Cork would under ordinary circumstances, be sought after for a country residence but three labourers cottages in front of the entrance gate would interfere with its comfort as a residence that there is no necessity for the houses proposed to be erected, inasmuch as it was proposed by the guardians to build four houses on the neighbouring townland of Ballinamtagh, which were rejected by the farmers, as the seven cottages existing at present on Raffeen would suffice for the two townlands that it would be unfair and inequitable to place cottages on his demesne and home farm to accommodate labourers for the use of the surrounding country that he was unable to attend at the Local Inquiry through illness that the sites selected for the erection of the said cottages on his farm are unnecessary and unfair	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order. (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	27 June 1887	ditto	ditto

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued*.

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector.	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
CORK—*continued* -	Kate Ronayne	That she is owner in fee and occupier of the lands of Annbrook, whereon it is proposed to erect three labourers' cottages, that having been served with the preliminary notice, she dissented, but as she received no notice of the local inquiry she was unable to attend: that the lands proposed to be taken form a portion of the demesne lands of Annbrook, and are situate within about 400 yards of Annbrook House, the residence of petitioner and which for generations has been the residence of the Ronayne family: that the said lands have always been a portion of said demesne, and farmed in conjunction therewith: that there are at present on the lands six or seven occupied and all in good repair, and that there is no want whatever for additional house accommodation for labourers: that the lands are within one mile of the town of Queenstown, in which labourers are permanently resident, and in which there is more than ample house accommodation for labourers, besides being in a convenient and accessible position for the farmers and labourers of the district: that the fixing of the sites for said proposed cottages was not *bonâ fide*, was illegal, and wholly unnecessary	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	27 June 1887	23 May 1887 The Lord Chancellor The Earl of Belmore. The Vice Chancellor Mr S. W Flanagan. Mr. James Monroe.
	Francis H. Downing	That he is owner of part of the lands of Knocknaney whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage: that having evicted the tenant of the said lands in 1886 the lands have been boycotted, lying waste, and practically useless to him ever since: that he received no notice of the guardian's intention to take his land until he received a copy of the Provisional Order: that there is no necessity for the erection of any cottages on said lands, which contain about 111 acres, and are all in grass: that if the cottage be built it is the intention of the guardians to put the evicted tenant in occupation: that having evicted the said tenant he has become very unpopular in the neighbourhood, and that the Land League, acted by members of the Board of Guardians who belong to that body, have placed the cottage on his lands for the purpose of annoyance, and practically reinstating the evicted tenant.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order. (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	27 June 1887	ditto — ditto.
SKIBBEREEN -	William Goggin	That he is occupier of the lands of Killeenleagh, on which it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages to one of which he objects: that the land proposed to be taken has not been selected with due regard to the general situation and convenience of his property so as to diminish the value thereof as little as possible, but, on the contrary has been selected so to greatly diminish such value that sufficient accommodation on the land for a labourer will be lost by the erection of the cottage proposed by the present scheme to which he does not object, that he holding is too small for a second cottage there being only about 20 acres of arable land on the farm, and that there are several large farms in the immediate neighbourhood of the lands on which said cottage could be erected with advantage, that the site proposed was not selected pursuant to the provisions of the Acts: that the farm adjoins the village of Caharagh, and the site selected for the cottage objected to is on the boundary adjoining and actually forming part of the village, and on the portion of the boundary where he has the only passage available at the side leading into his farm.	That the Provisional Order is confirmed	27 June 1887	23 May 1887 The Lord Chancellor The Earl of Belmore The Vice Chancellor Mr Justice Monroe.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

92.	Bartholomew Hourihane.	That he is in occupation of the lands of Millcommahorna, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the land proposed to be taken has not been selected with due regard to the general situation and convenience of his property so as to diminish the value thereof as little as possible, but, on the contrary, has been so selected as to greatly diminish such value; that there is already sufficient accommodation on the land for a labourer, he having lately built a substantial dwelling house thereon at a cost of 90*l.*; that the holding is too small for a second cottage, there being only about three acres of arable land in the farm, and that there are several large farms in the immediate neighbourhood of the lands in which said cottage could be erected with advantage; that the site proposed for the cottage was not selected pursuant to the provisions of the Acts.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	27 June 1887	ditto ditto.
	Daniel Keating	That he is occupier of part of the lands of Prohanna, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that there are only 16 acres of land in the holding on which there is already a labourer's cottage; that there are no labourers' cottages on the adjoining farms, some of which consist of over 300 acres; that there is a nearer approach to the road from an adjoining farm than from his, and that the cause of the house being put on his lands was for having evicted the tenant of the holding	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	27 June 1887	ditto - ditto.
C 4	William Donovan	That he is occupier of part of the lands of Drumclegue whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that there is a substantial house on the lands which could accommodate another labourer in addition to the two labourers on the lands at present; that the site is proposed to be placed on the principal part of his land where his waters for cattle; that his farm only contains 18 acres, on which two labourers are accommodated whereas the adjoining farms contain 61 and 50 acres respectively, on which there are no labourers' cottages	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	27 June 1887	ditto ditto.
SKULL	Paul Shannon	That he is occupier of the lands in the townland of Kilbeconagan, whereon it is proposed to build two cottages; that he received no notice of the guardians intention to take the said lands as he was not in occupier at the time the guardians made their scheme but subsequently purchased the said lands; that the area of said farm on which the cottages are proposed to be erected is about 34 acres, statute measure; that the sum of 500*l.* has been spent in draining, fencing &c. the said farm; that there are many larger farms in the neighbourhood and in the same townland on which no cottages are to be built; that no labourer is now or for more than a year past required to work on said farm and that the labourers intended to occupy the said two cottages work and reside on other farms on which no cottages have been ordered to be built, and which contain as eligible sites as those on his farm; that the building of the said cottages would determinate largely the value of his farm; that the said sites were selected through ill will, because some tenants were evicted many years ago by the then occupier.	That one of the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	21 Oct. 1887	20 July 1887 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan. The Vice Chancellor Judge Warren Lord Justice Barry Mr S. W. Flanagan. Mr Braun. Mr Kavanagh

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued*.

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
SKULL—*continued*	John Finchenry Townshend and Daniel Gallagher	That they are respectively owner and occupier of the lands of Kilcoe, on which it is proposed to erect two labourers' cottages; that the sites of the proposed cottages are placed in a field which adjoins the residences of one of them, and if erected will materially diminish the value of the said lands, it being impossible for him to use the said field to advantage if a labourer and his family are settled there, on them parties can, if they wish give annoyance in many ways to persons residing on said lands; that there is no demand for agricultural labourers in the said district sufficient to justify the erection of the said cottages, which are not required for *bona fide* agricultural labourers, as there is at present sufficient accommodation in the district for the number of agricultural labourers therein, that the proposed sites are wholly unsuitable for the erection of said cottages, as the said sites are at a considerable distance from the road and a long way from a supply of water and that they have not been selected with due regard to the situation and convenience of their property	That one of the cottages objected to be included from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order and that a site be substituted for the site of the remaining cottage.	21 Oct. 1867	30 July 1867 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan. The Vice Chancellor Judge Warren. Lord Justice Barry Mr S. W Flanagan. Mr Brane Mr Kavanagh
BALROTHERY	T. A. Comerford	That he is owner in fee of that part of the lands in the townland of Balbriggan, on which it is proposed to erect four labourers cottages that the said lands contain 37 statute acres or thereabouts, the chief amount of which is occupied under buildings and the remainder which adjoins the former portion is suitable for "town parks" or accommodation for the houses aforesaid, that having received notice of the intention of the guardians to take the said lands for the purposes of the Acts, he dissented and subsequently attended at the local inquiry that from the general evidence given at the said local inquiry it appeared that the committee deputed by the guardians to select sites adopted in some instances such sites as were selected or pointed out to them by certain labourers who accompanied them and that as he had not dealt with his property at Balbriggan in accordance with their views, it had been in consequence resolved to place four cottages on his land; that, believing it was manifest from the evidence given at the said inquiry that the committee did not select the said sites *bona fide* or as contemplated by the provisions of the Labourers Acts, he did not deem it essential to adduce any evidence to the case as he did not think that the Local Government Inspector who held the local inquiry would have felt himself warranted in reporting that sufficient grounds had been shewn for the erection of the said cottages that on the conclusion of the evidence tendered by the guardians in support of the scheme, he informed the Local Government Inspector that he would refrain from examining certain witnesses on his behalf having regard to the insufficiency of the evidence produced by the guardians and protested against the said scheme, whereupon the inspector informed him that as he had not tendered himself for examination his statements would not be recorded; that upon portions of the said lands several beams of a first-class character have been erected and he intended reserving the portion proposed to be taken by the guardians as "gardens" or "town parks" for the said houses, thereby rendering them more desirable as private residences and materially improving the locality that therefore the erection of the proposed cottages would seriously affect the value of his lands, that he is the only owner in the townland on whom property sites have been selected although the portions of the townland not included in the town of Balbriggan are of very considerable extent, and present many more sites which are much more convenient and suitable for the erection of labourers cottages than his lands, and that the selection of such sites would not cause any depreciation in the value of the property on which same might be placed that the said lands have been selected without due regard to the provisions of the said Acts, and that in the selection the said committee were influenced by motives mentioned above, and if said cottages be erected will materially deteriorate petitioner's property	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order. (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	15 Aug. 1867	30 July 1867 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan. The Vice Chancellor Judge Warren. Mr S. W Flanagan. Mr Brane. Mr. Kavanagh.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

92 DROGHEDA	Alexander R. Kirkpatrick.	That he is the agent for the owner of that part of the lands of Boolies Great, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, that there are already a sufficient number of cottages on the farm.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	20 July 1887 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan. The Vice Chancellor Judge Warren. Mr S. W. Flanagan. Mr Brown. Mr Kavanagh.	
	Elizabeth Margaret Montfort and Mary Montfort.	That they are owners of the portion of the lands of Boolies Great, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, that notice of the guardians intention to take said lands as required by the Acts was not served on them, and that they had no knowledge of the guardians intention in the matter to take any portion of the said lands until the Provisional Order was made, therefore they had no opportunity of attending before the Local Government Inspector and objecting to the scheme, that the site proposed to be taken has not been selected with due regard to the situation and convenience of their property so as to diminish the value thereof so little as possible, but on the contrary has been selected so as to greatly diminish such value, that the said proposed cottage is wholly unnecessary having regard to the ordinary requirements of the district as there is sufficient and suitable accommodation therein for agricultural labourers and their families that the site proposed for the said intended cottage was not selected pursuant to the provisions of the Labourers Acts, and that such site is unsuitable, that no sufficient reason for the erection of the said cottage has been proved to exist, or ever be fact exist.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	ditto — ditto.	
	Robert Perceval Maxwell.	That he is owner of the portion of the townland of Commons, on which it is proposed to erect six labourers' cottages, that although he owns about one-seventh only of the townland the sanitary authority have placed on his lands one-half the number of cottages proposed to be erected in the said townland, that there is no employment for labourers in the locality which is entirely a grazing district, and that all the persons employed by him as herds or caretakers have ample accommodation for that if the proposed cottages are necessary for other persons not connected with his property that there are eligible and suitable sites for labourers cottages on the public part of the townland of Commons, where nine cottages have already been built within a few perches of the six cottages now proposed to be built, or also in the village of Duleek, which is only 200 perches distant from the site of the proposed cottages, that the greater portion of the lands in the district is used for grazing purposes, and there is therefore no demand for labourers that there is ample accommodation for agricultural labourers in the village of Duleek, and that some of the proposed cottages are intended for the accommodation of persons residing in the said village, that the sites and plots of land proposed to be taken form portions of the best and most profitable grazing	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	ditto ditto.	
	John Bellew	That he is lessee and occupier of the lands in the townland of Stallion, on which it is proposed to build a labourer's cottage, that there is no necessity for further accommodation for labourers in the district, there being already two labourers cottages on his holding both affording sufficient accommodation and in addition thereto there are five cottages built by or upon the roaring fence of his land; that the site for the proposed cottage is not suitable, and that the only sites suitable are those on which the two cottages aforesaid are built, that the party for whom the said cottage is required is not an agricultural labourer	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	ditto ditto.	

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued*

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector.	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
DROGHEDA—continued -	William Henry Dunbrown.	That he is owner of part of the lands of Nicholastown, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that he did not get any notice and was unaware of the local inquiry in the matter directed to be held by the Local Government Board, otherwise he would have attended and lodged his objections to the scheme; that he was obliged to eject the late tenant from the lands for non payment of rent, and his labourers are tilling and saving same under police protection; that the additional cottage proposed is not required, inasmuch as there are already on the said lands two labourers cottages, which are amply sufficient.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	20 July 1887 The Lord Chancellor. Mr Cogan The Vice Chancellor Judge Warren Mr S. W Flanagan. Mr Brunn. Mr Kavanagh
	Edward Delany	That he is lessee and occupier of the lands of Corballis, whereon it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages; that there are 10 houses on the said lands on which he has spent over 60£ in repairs during the year 1885 all of which houses are certified by the sanitary officer of the district to be in a sanitary condition; that three of the said houses are occupied by his labourers, another of them by an old ploughman who is unable to work and that three of them are unoccupied; that there is no want of house accommodation for labourers in the locality and that there is no proper water supply for drinking purposes within 600 yards of the site of the proposed cottages.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	ditto ditto.
	Viscount Gormanston	That he is owner of the lands in the townlands of Grange, Dollay, and Gormanstown, whereon it is proposed to erect six labourers cottages, that the existing house accommodation for agricultural labourers and their families within the district is not sufficient, having regard to the ordinary requirements therein, nor are the existing houses in any respect unfit for human habitation; that the proposed sites are in the immediate neighbourhood of Gormanstown and Stamullin village, in which there are a sufficient number of suitable houses available for the use of all the agricultural labourers in the district who have not suitable accommodation elsewhere, that the taking of the said sites unduly interferes with his demesne and amenity of residence, especially as regards the plots, which are actually within the lands forming the demesne; that the plots selected for the said sites have not been selected with due regard to the general situation and convenience of his property so as to diminish the value thereof as little as possible; that the statutory authority did not comply with the provisions of the Labourers (Ireland) Acts, as regards the publication of advertisements or the service of notices; that notice of the guardians intention to take the said lands was neither given to nor served upon him; that no sufficient reason for the erection of the said cottages or any of them has been proved to exist or does in fact exist.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	ditto ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Thomas Richard Durdin Atkinson.	That he is the owner of the lands in the townland of Piskin, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the site selected is not suitable for the erection of the proposed cottage, that a labourer's cottage is not required there being sufficient accommodation already for labourers in the district that the erection of the said site was not bond fide, that the erection of a cottage upon the site proposed would materially injure his property and the approaches thereto.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	— ditto — ditto
Robert Bedford Daly	That he is lessee and occupier of part of the lands of Murrington, on which it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages that in the district certain owners and occupiers have consented to the guardians taking immediate possession of sites for 17 labourers' cottages 16 of which have been allotted to agricultural labourers but one remains unallotted owing to no bond fide agricultural labourer applying therefor; that there is no constant supply of drinking water available w than half a statute mile of the said site that the site of the proposed cottages is situate fully a statute mile from his residence, and is therefore unsuitable for occupation by his labourers, who, with the exception of the herd, are exclusively employed upon the garden and grounds adjoining the house; that the proposed site of the said cottages adjoins the River Boyne, and is in the centre of a district in which there is another need of, nor employment for, agricultural labourers.	That one of the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order and that a site be substituted for the site of the remaining one. (The guardians in this case agreed to accept a site for one cottage in lieu of the two cottages objected to.)	16 Aug. 1887	— ditto ditto
John Woods	That he is lessee and occupier of the portion of the lands of Stamoun, on which it is proposed to build a labourer's cottage, that there are seven houses on the said lands, that he employs three labourers, two of whom are provided with good houses, and the third labourer has been allotted a new house by a committee of the guardians that he never has employ ment for more than three labourers, and that there is no want whatever for a labourer's cottage for the accommodation of any labourer on said lands, that the site of the proposed cottage is situate at a very short distance from, and in view of, and would interfere with the amenity of, his residence and the lands immediately adjoining which are occupied with said residence, that the said site has not been selected with due regard to the general situation and convenience of his property that there is no supply of drinking water available within a mile, and no constant supply of any water within a reasonable distance.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	ditto - ditto
Patrick Casey Con nally	That he is lessee and occupier of the lands in the townland of Monaymore, on which it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages that there is no necessity for further accommodation for labourers in the district, the present accommodation being ample, and the site of the proposed cottages not being more than half a mile from the town of Drogheda, that there are already on the holding two cottages, one complete and the other in course of erection that the parties for whom the said cottages are intended are not agricultural labourers.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Site two for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	ditto - ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued.*

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector.	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
MIDLETON -	John Smyth - -	That he is owner and occupier of the lands in the townland of Tullagh, on which it is proposed to erect two labourers' cottages: that the existing house accommodation is of a suitable kind for agricultural labourers and three families, and that the number of houses in the said townland has been more than sufficient for the requirements of the district; that he holds about 30 acres of land on which there are at present two good labourers' houses, one of which was newly built and slated four years ago, the other being re-thatched about the same time, and that no objection was made by the sanitary authority against these houses; that he and his father have occupied the said lands for the past 30 years, during which period there were never more than two labourers employed thereon; that the sites of the two cottages proposed to be built on the said lands are objectionable, having regard to the general convenience of his residence and property that the said cottages, if built, will not be occupied by *bonâfide* agricultural labourers that no rent will be paid for the said cottages, and that they will entail ruinous expense on the ratepayers.	That the Provisional Order be confirmed.	21 Oct. 1887	14 September 1887: Lord Chancellor The Earl of Belmore. Mr Justice Holmes. Mr Justice Monroe. Mr. Young.
	William Keller -	Petitioner states that he is owner and occupier of the lands of Ballyrichard more, containing about 60 acres, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the said lands were purchased by him for the purpose of building a residence and settling thereon a member of his family and that the sanitary authority have selected for the site of the proposed cottage the site he had intended for the said residence, that if the said cottage is permitted to be built he will be prevented from carrying out his intention of erecting a residence on the said lands, and obliged to build on a less convenient site that there is already sufficient accommodation for labourers upon the said farm, consisting of three two-storied dwellings substantially built, and in good repair that there is no demand for additional labourers' cottages in the locality the farms being generally of small sizes and worked without external help by the farmers and their families that the erection of the said cottage would be a serious injury to him, and would be at the same time an unnecessary expenditure of public money; that if additional accommodation be required there are in the neighbourhood several large farms on which there are no labourers' dwellings, and on which more suitable sites could be found; that the site of the proposed cottage has been improvidently selected without due regard to his interest as occupier of the lands or to the public convenience, that the proposed site is about one mile distant from the nearest water supply	That a site be substituted for the one objected to.	21 Oct. 1887	- ditto - - ditto.
	Thomas Wise Gubbins	That he is owner of part of the lands of Carrigtohill, whereon it is proposed to erect three labourers cottages, that the said lands are part of the "Home Farm," in connection with his demesne and residence at Annegrove, and have been used as such since he became owner, and previously by his predecessor in title that there are already on said farm 14 labourers' cottages, four of which have been newly erected within the past six years, and 12 thoroughly repaired within the same period that all the said cottages are now in good repair and condition	That one of the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	21 Oct. 1887	- ditto - ditto

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

	and 4s for occupation, but that several of them are, and have been for a long space of time, unoccupied owing to there being no agricultural labourers in the vicinity requiring house accommodation that the adjoining farms are well supplied with labourers dwellings, and that the village of Carrigtohill, half a mile distant, contains also a large number of dwellings suitable and available for labourers that the proposed sites are so placed as to occupy and impede a passage used for the working of his said "Home Farm, so that he would be greatly inconvenienced in the management and working of his farm by the erection of the proposed cottages that the placing of the said cottages on his "Home Farm" where there are already so many buildings of a similar character would greatly depreciate its value and inflict much loss and damage upon him without confusing in any way to the public convenience or advantage.				
John C Hennessy	That he is owner of the village and part of the lands of Carrigtohill, whereon it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages that the site for the said cottages is in the village of Carrigtohill where there are a large number of suitable cottages, several of which are unoccupied and that no further accommodation in the vicinity is required, that on these small holdings of seven acres and two acres respectively there are already 10 houses, and here in the immediate neighbourhood of Carrigtohill there are several farms where more suitable sites can be obtained that the erection of the said cottages on the said land will seriously depreciate its value and inflict great loss and damage on him, without conducing to any public good.	That the Provisional Order be confirmed.	21 Oct. 1897	ditto	ditto
John Hyde -	That he is tenant for part of the lands of Carrigtohill, containing about 15 acres, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that the site for the said cottage is in the village of Carrigtohill where there are a large number of suitable cottages, several of which are unoccupied, and that no further accommodation in the vicinity is required that on his small holding of which only, about seven acres are arable there are already 12 houses, and that in the immediate neighbourhood of Carrigtohill there are several farms where more suitable sites can be obtained, that the erection of the said cottage on the said land will seriously depreciate its value and inflict great loss and damage on him without conducing to any public good.	That the Provisional Order be confirmed.	21 Oct. 1897	- ditto -	ditto.
James Curtin -	That he is owner of the lands of Fairyhogan, whereon it is proposed to erect five labourers cottages, that the said lands form a farm of 48 acres on which there are already two excellent slated cottages for labourers and that there are a large number of labourers dwellings in good repair and affording ample accommodation for the district on the adjoining lands, several of which have been for some time past untenanted that the site for the said cottages is within a quarter of a mile of the village of Carrigtohill, in which there is ample and suitable accommodation for agricultural labourers, and that there is no need of further accommodation in the neighbourhood that it is proposed under the present Provisional Order to build 12 cottages within a radius of one fourth of a mile of the said site, that he purchased the said farm for the purpose of building a residence thereon for himself, and if the said cottages be erected it will be rendered wholly unsuitable for the purpose, and that their erection will also seriously depreciate the value of his land.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	21 Oct. 1897	- ditto -	ditto

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland, &c.—continued.*

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
KILRUSH—*continued*	Twenty six Ratepayers of Carrigtoohill Electoral Division.	That they object to the erection of five cottages on the townland of Pilsborough; that sufficient accommodation for agricultural labourers already exists in the neighbourhood of the proposed site, there being 23 substantial cottages in good repair within a radius of one mile of the site of the proposed cottages, and several of them at present, and for some time past, are untenanted though suitable for occupation; that the village of Carrigtoohill, in which there is ample accommodation for agricultural labourers, is within one fourth of a mile of the site of the proposed cottages; that the said site has been selected improvidently and without due regard to the wants of the Electoral Division or the interests and convenience of the owners and occupiers of lands therein and of the agricultural labourers; that the said five cottages are all proposed to be erected on one farm of 46 acres, on which there are already two excellent slated dwellings for labourers, and are placed opposite to the gate lodge, and close to the demesne and residence of William Barry; that the placing of the said five cottages on the proposed site will have the effect of depreciating the value of the said farm, and will also seriously affect the convenience and amenity of Dr. Barry's residence; that while the said five cottages are proposed to be grouped together in a locality in which ample accommodation already exists, several large farms in the electoral division have been overlooked, on which there is no accommodation for labourers, and on which none could be more conveniently placed.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	21 Oct. 1887	14 September, 1887 The Lord Chancellor The Earl of Belmore. Mr. Justice Holmes. Mr Justice Monroe. Mr Young
	Nicholas B. Walsh	That he is tenant of part of the lands of Ballymurrin, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, that prior to the making of the improvement scheme for the electoral division, he was preparing to build a cottage on said lands and had the materials ready for the same, and had otherwise incurred expense in connection therewith; that he attended at the local inquiry and objected on the grounds that the proposed cottage was not required and would, if erected, be a useless and unnecessary expenditure of the public money; that the said farm only contains 72 statute acres and cannot conveniently bear more than one cottage in addition to the large two storied dwelling house already existing thereon and fit for the occupation of any labourer, that if the sanitary authority are allowed to build the said cottage he will be obliged to forego his intention of building a cottage on the said lands for his own labourer; and that he will thereby be greatly prejudiced and injured; that he on receipt of notice of the guardians' intention in the matter, offered to guarantee to them the erection, at his own expense, of a cottage on the said lands as good as that proposed to be built by the guardians, and also to give employment to the labourer occupying same. To this offer he received a verbal reply through the Clerk of the Union, "that the Board would persevere and would not withdraw"; that the erection of the said cottage is unnecessary for the accommodation of the labourers in the district, and would be an improvident expenditure of public money; that it would embarrass and injure him in the occupation of said lands by depriving him of the opportunity of having one of his own servants resident on the said lands	That the erection of the cottage be not proceeded with, provided that within three months from the date of the confirming Order in Council the tenant of the lands build and completes on the said site a cottage suitable for a *bona fide* agricultural labourer, and gives the same with half an acre of land attached thereto for the occupation of an agricultural labourer, at a rent not exceeding that charged in like cases by the Board of Guardians for cottages erected by them.	21 Oct. 1887	*ditto* *ditto.*

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

D2.	Richard Lane Warren.	That he is owner of part of the lands of Glab East, whereon it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages; that the said holding consists of only nine acres, the present tenant of which has been boycotted for taking the farm the previous tenant having been evicted that the principal mover in getting these cottages built is the secretary of the Midleton Branch of the National League with the view of giving him and the present tenant annoyance, that the allocating of sites for two houses on such a small holding would be most detrimental to his interest and that of the tenant that objection was made at the local inquiry on behalf of petitioner against the erection of the said cottages that the selection of sites for the said cottages on the said holding was unnecessary and unfair	That one of the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme as authorised by the Provisional Order	21 Oct. 1887	ditto - ditto.	
	Patrick Leahy	That he is tenant of part of the lands of Castlerichmond containing about 30 acres, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, that the said site is situated considerably less than one-fourth of a mile from the town of Midleton, where there are already a very large number of cottages suitable for labourers, and is within a short distance of the village of Ballincarra and that no further accommodation is required for agricultural labourers within the immediate neighbourhood of the proposed site that upon this small holding there are already three labourers cottages, all of them in excellent repair, together with the dwelling-house occupied by him and the schools of the Christian Brothers at Midleton, that the erection of the proposed cottage will be an unnecessary and improvident expenditure of public money and will also inflict serious injury on him and depreciate the value of his farm	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	21 Oct. 1887	- ditto - ditto.	
D4.	SCARIFF	James Nash (on behalf of Francis Cornelius Sandys now a minor).	That he is owner of that part of the townland of Cappantrihane, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that the said townland contains only about 30 statute acres, and is divided into two parts separated from each other—one part containing about 19 acres and the other 11 acres and that the two men who herd the said two portions of the townland have respectively five and three in family who are unable to obtain employment, except in the haymaking season that the portion of the said townland on which it is proposed to erect the said cottage is a Home Farm and has been worked in connection with the house and demesne known as Will mount House, that the said house and demesne of Williamstall and the said lands of Cappantrihane have been let for a period of seven years by the Court of Chancery, and that the tenant uses said lands as a "home farm" in connection with said demesne; that the townland of Cappantrihane is only separated from the said demesne by the road, and that the building of the proposed labourer's cottage would injure both the demesne and the farm, that the existing house accommodation for agricultural labourers in the district is sufficient that there are no labourers in the district requiring house accommodation, and some of the labourers in the district find it very difficult to obtain work; that there are two cottages quite close to the site of the proposed cottage which have been idle for a long time, as no tenant could be obtained to occupy them	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	20 July 1887 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan The Vice Chancellor Judge Warren Mr S. W Flanagan. Mr Brien. Mr Kavanagh.	

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued*.

Poor Law Union	Name of Objector.	Grounds of Objection	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
SCARIFF—*continued*	Dowse Matilda Moreland.	That she is owner in fee of the lands of Tomgraney containing about three and a quarter acres on which it is proposed to erect two cottages that the lands proposed to be taken are contiguous to, and have been let for several years past with one of the best residential houses in the village of Tomgraney the letting value of which would be seriously diminished by taking said land; that the said lands are situate half way between the village of Scariff and Tomgraney which are overstocked with labourers; that she refused a reduction of 20 to 40 per cent. on judicial rents several of her tenants who paid their rent in labour have been noticed and left their employment although she is anxious to employ labour largely; that the said house and lands have been boycotted; that the fixing of the sites for the said cottages on the said lands was not *bonâ fide*	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	20 July 1887 The Lord Chancellor Mr Ogan The Vice Chancellor Judge Warren Mr S W Finnegan. Mr Byrne. Mr Ravenagh.
ARDEE	Anthony Pepper	That he is owner of the land on the townland of Anagloe, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that he requires the proposed site on which to erect a cottage for a labourer, who would be selected by himself and subject to his control; that his farm is so small (nine acres and eighteen perches) that it would not admit of a second cottage being erected thereon without loss and injury to him; that more than two years ago he proposed to the present tenant of the cottage on his land, which is now condemned, that if the said tenant would vacate the cottage he would have it re-built and re-admit him on the same terms under which he at that time held the cottage, but was unable to obtain his voluntary consent thereto; that he is still prepared to carry out the proposal referred to; that he was unable, through illness, to attend to business or personally attend at the local inquiry to oppose the order	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order. (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	ditto ditto.
	Sir Alan Edward Bellingham.	That he is owner of the lands whereon it is proposed to erect five labourers' cottages; that the existing house accommodation for agricultural labourers and their families is not deficient, having regard to the requirements of the district; that there are already 28 labourers' cottages on his lands, and it is proposed by the present Order to place 20 additional cottages thereon, although the existing cottages are amply and sufficient to give accommodation of all the agricultural labourers in the district who have not suitable house accommodation elsewhere; that the plots selected for the said sites have not been selected with due regard to the general situation and convenience of his property so as to diminish the value thereof as little as possible and that the five sites in Sallierstown are in a field in his hands, which is suitable for villa residences, being one of the most beautiful points of view on the coast; that he paid the late tenant of the said field, which contains 17 acres of land, over 125 *l.* to obtain full possession thereof for the purpose of enabling him to let it as building lots for villa residences.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order. (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	ditto ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

	Henry S Hutton	That he is owner of the lands of Tullydonnell whereon it is proposed to erect two labourers' cottages that the existing house accommodative for agricultural labourers and their families is quite sufficient having regard to the ordinary requirements of the district and that the tenant of the said lands has erected thereon cottages for the accommodation of the labourers whom he employs that neither petitioner nor his land agent received any notice of the local inquiry and that if he had received such notice of the said inquiry he would have attended and objected	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug 1887	ditto	ditto	
	Bernard Kelly	That he is tenant and occupier of the lands of Tullydonnell whereon it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages that he lodges and boards at his own residence the several labourers whom he employs that the two men for whom the proposed cottages are intended are now and have been for the past five years in occupation of two of his houses at the yearly rent of 1L 10 s each that one of the said two men is a thatcher and mason, who works out of the locality for the greater part of the year, and that the other is a herd who is employed attending cattle during the principal portion of the year in different parts of the country The said labourers own three and a-half-and four years respectively for the cottages which they occupy that one of them has signified his intention of emigrating in which case his house would become vacant, that the said two houses which were built for labourers, are in excellent condition and preservation and are much better than those of many of the ratepayers whom it is proposed to tax that there is no requirement for labourers' cottages on the adjoining farms, there being plenty of accommodation for permanent labourers in the district, a large number of whom are maintained out of the rates or by charity that the Poor Rates and County Cess are 8 s 4 d in the £ that he did not receive sufficient notice of the inquiry held by the Local Government Inspector to enable him to attend and object	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug 1887	ditto	ditto.	
	Twelve Ratepayers of the Drumcar Electoral Division	That they object to the two labourers cottages proposed to be erected on the lands of Tullydonnell that the accommodation for labourers in the said Electoral Division is quite sufficient and that the said proposed cottages are not required as there are already good labourers cottages on the said lands that the labourers for whom the proposed cottages are intended are at present occupying cottages which are in many respects better than those in which some of the petitioners reside that a large number of the population of the said division are maintained out of the rates and by charity and petitioners protest against the rates, which are at present very high, being increased by the building of the said two cottages, that if they had been aware of the inquiry which was held by the Local Government Inspector they would have attended and objected	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	16 Aug. 1887	ditto	ditto	
DUNGARVAN	Patrick Walsh	That he is occupier of the lands in the townland of Kilmurry on which the cottage proposed to be purchased by the guardians is situate that the said cottage is in a proper state of repair and is not in such a condition as would entitle the sanitary authority to put in force the provisions of The Labourers (Ireland) Acts in reference thereto; that the said cottage is suitable and is usually occupied by a labourer employed on his farm that the cottage proposed to be acquired has not been condemned by the sanitary officer as not being in a fit state of repair, that under the provisions of the said Acts no power or authority is conferred on a sanitary authority to take compulsorily a plot or piece of land to attach to an existing cottage which such cottage is in a proper state of repair unless by agreement which agreement petitioner has not entered into that there is at present sufficient house accommodation for the labourers employed on his farm and their families.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	21 Oct. 1887	15 September 1887 The Lord Chancellor Mr Justice Holmes. Mr Justice Monroe		

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued*.

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector.	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
BALLYMAHON	Francis Dawson	That he is occupier of the lands in the townland of Tully, on which it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that there is already ample accommodation for all the labourers in the district, and that there is no necessity for importing additional labourers; that the labourers for whom the guardians intend building the cottage resides and works in another Electoral Division a considerable distance away and is an unsuitable person; that the site proposed is not the most eligible for the purpose, or that which would be chosen by an uninterested person.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	31 Oct. 1887	15 September 1887 The Lord Chancellor Mr. James Holmes. Mr. Justice Monroe.
	Thomas Alder Cusack	That he is owner in fee of the lands in the townlands of Mornin, Corrabeen, and Carryroughan whereon it is proposed to erect four labourers' cottages; that as regards the two cottages proposed to be built on the townland of Mornin the sanitary authority intend to erect one of the said cottages as a dwelling for a man who used to live with a brother who was their tenant for a holding on said townland that repeated acts of trespass were committed by him and with a view to control such conduct, petitioner was obliged to institute proceedings that subsequently he was compelled to bring an ejectment against the brother for non payment of a large arrear of rent, that this man does not reside on the lands of Mornin, and that he admitted to the Local Government Board Inspector at the local inquiry that the house on which he resides is sufficient for his requirements, but that his object was to obtain land that the man for whom it is proposed to erect the second cottage on the said townland married a relative of a tenant of a small holding situate nearly in the centre of said lands, the occupation of which it was understood would cease at furthest with her life, but this man having so married went to reside with her shortly before her death, and upon her decease refused to leave and withheld possession till he was evicted, that petitioner has in his own occupation a considerable portion of the lands of Mornin, which he uses as a grazing farm of which the land proposed to be taken as a position and that the erection of the said cottages thereon would be injurious to his property, that the cottage proposed to be erected on the townland of Corrabeen is intended for the occupation of a man who upon the death of his brother took possession of a portion of said townland of which the latter had been tenant and withheld possession and entered a defence to an ejectment on title and was ultimately evicted; that the said lands have been converted into a home farm in connection with the resident house and demesne lands of Derry Hall, which are situate immediately contiguous thereto that the erection of the said cottages is not necessary to meet the requirements of the district, and that he has in his service several labourers whom he supplies with houses, that the remainder of the lands in the Electoral Division is in the occupation of various other parties, and presents many sites much more suitable than his lands for the erection of labourers' cottages if required, the taking of which sites would not cause any depreciation in the value of the property on which same	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	31 Oct. 1887	ditto ditto

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

		ought be selected that his lands have been chosen without due regard to the provisions of the statutes, and were fixed upon for the purpose of deteriorating his property and causing him loss, and to create annoyance, and that the persons who have been selected to occupy the cottages proposed to be erected on the lands of Meenan and Carnahaun are persons who have occasioned him considerable expense, in addition to the loss he has sustained by reason of the persons referred to having withheld possession of his land without payment of compensation in respect of such occupation.				
	Rev. William Christie	That he is occupier of the lands in the townland of Laghleaney whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, that the lands are not for tillage purposes, that no labourers are required in the locality and that he has a cottage on the lands already for the only labourer he requires.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	21 Oct. 1887	ditto	ditto.
	William Mann	That he is occupier, as tenant from year to year, of part of the lands of Curry, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, that the taking of the said site would be an undue interference with the convenience of his property, and would diminish the value thereof to an extent largely in excess of the compensation which he would obtain, as by interrupting his communication with other portions of the said farm it would seriously interfere with the profitable working and management thereof, that he employs three labourers, each of whom has a good house with garden attached thereto and that he cannot ascertain that any labourer applied for or wants the proposed cottage; that there is no need for increased accommodation for labourers in the district, nor does he require any additional labour; that his farm is the smallest in the said townland, and that several farms in his neighbourhood which are very much larger would afford more convenient sites for a cottage.	That the Provisional Order be confirmed.	21 Oct. 1887	ditto	ditto
KILLUSH	William O'Dwyer	That he is occupier of the land in the townland of Annagh, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, that the Order placing the said cottage on his farm was made without his knowledge or consent; that his said farm is small compared to very many adjoining farms in the same district and Electoral Division that he has already on his farm two labourers cottages, to which no sanitary authority can object; and that no labourer has applied or is applying to occupy either of the said two cottages.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	21 Oct. 1887	ditto	ditto.
KILLARNEY	John Henry Rugrus	That he is owner of the lands in the townland of Annaghilymore, whereon it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages that he was never served with notice of the application for said Provisional Order and consequently that he had no opportunity of ascertaining if the sites proposed for the said cottages were suitable or not, and that he does not at present know on what portion of his property it is intended to place the said cottages; that there is ample accommodation for the labourers employed by his tenants on the said lands, and that there is no necessity to erect the proposed cottages thereon.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	21 Oct. 1887	13 October 1887 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan. The Vice Chancellor Mr S. W Flanagan. Mr Justice Holmes.	

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Poor Law Union	Name of Objector	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
KILLARNEY—*continued*	Marquis of Lansdowne, Viscount Castlerosse, Gerald Richard Dease, William Dawes Freshfield, and the Earl of Kenmare	That they are owners of the lands in the townlands of Kilquane and Cleony whereon it is proposed to erect two cottages; that, owing to the size and situation of the farm in the townland of Kilquane on which one of the said cottages is proposed to be erected, there is no necessity for a labourer's cottage, and that the taking of the said site and plot of land would materially injure the tenant in the occupation and enjoyment of the said farm; that there are on the same Electoral Division, and in the immediate neighbourhood, sites much more suitable upon farms of as much larger extent the occupiers of which would not be materially injured thereby; that there is no necessity for additional labourers cottages in the district; that notices of the guardians intention to take the said site and plot of land were not served on the owners and occupier; that as regards the site and plot of land proposed to be taken on the lands in the townland of Cleony no notice of the intention of the sanitary authority to take the said site and plot was served on Honora Shea, who was the person in occupation of the said lands at the time when notice of such intention should have been served and that Daniel Shea the person on whom the guardians purported to serve the required notice never was in occupation of any part of the said lands and never had any tenancy or other right in respect of the said lands; that there are already two labourers cottages on the lands which are quite sufficient for the requirements of the farm; that the said lands are now in their occupation as owners, the tenant having been evicted therefrom; and that they have labourers well and sufficiently housed and more than sufficient for their requirements that if a labourer's cottage be erected on the said lands of Cleony it will be used by the guardians for the purpose of putting the evicted tenant or the said Daniel Shea, who is her son, in possession of the said lands, to give annoyance and trouble, and prevent them from either letting or profitably using the said lands.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	21 Oct. 1887	13 October 1887. The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan. The Vice Chancellor Mr S. W. Flanagan. Mr Justice Johnson.
GRANARD	Benjamin J. Lloyd	That he is the tenant of part of the lands in the townlands of Turyaun and Coolnagun, respectively, on which it is proposed to erect four labourers' cottages; that there is good and ample accommodation for all the labourers in the district, and that there is no necessity or occasion whatever to import additional labourers, that he has five good detached cottages for his labourers on his farms, and that the said cottages have not been, nor could they be, condemned by the sanitary authority that he is prepared to execute any necessary repairs thereto, if pointed out to him, that the rates are already sufficiently high.	That the cottages, objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	28 Oct. 1887	ditto ditto
	Anne Kirk	That she is lessee of part of the lands in the townland of Grimardhill, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the selected site is in the centre of her farm; that the cottage if built there, would seriously interfere with the amenity of her residence, and lessen the market value of her land.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	28 Oct. 1887	ditto ditto

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

	Patrick Gavagan	That he is tenant of part of the lands of Rarree wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, that the taking of the said lands would be an undue interference with the convenience of his property and would diminish the value thereof to an extent largely in excess of the compensation which he would obtain by reason of the situation of the proposed cottage, that the person for whom it is proposed to erect the said cottage is obnoxious to him, and would not be employed by him, and that said labourer is constantly trespassing.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for the cottage abandoned by guardians.)	20 Oct. 1887	- ditto	ditto
	Charles McCulloch -	That he is occupier of the land in the townland of Castletown Lower, wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the area of the said farm is 67 acres 3 roods and 33 perches, statute measure, that the house on which the previous tenant lived is now used as a labourer's dwelling, that upon the portion of the townland in which he resides there are four good houses and and occupied by the labourers in his employment that the said cottage and plot was applied for by a labourer who has since left the place; that the said cottage is not required for the use of any agricultural labourer and that the labourers' houses on his residential farm, and the dwelling-house on the said farm, are sufficient accommodation for the labourers required in the district.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	20 Oct. 1887	- ditto	ditto.
NAVAN	Henry Barry Godrington and William Smith.	That they are owner and occupier respectively, of the lands in the townland of Grange wherempon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, that the representation made to the sanitary authority on which the scheme was based was defective, that the site selected for the said cottage is on the "home farm."	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	7 Jan. 1888	30 November 1887 Lord Chancellor. Lord Chief Justice. Vice Chancellor Mr Ravenagh. Mr Ian T Hamilton.	
	David Baggan and Patrick Baggan.	That they are tenants and occupiers of the land in the townland of Botaghstown, wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that the said land contains about 50 acres, Irish, and is used as a "home farm" in connection with their residence on a farm which they also hold in Boyerstown, and which is distant about a quarter of a mile from the said land; that the said land is in grass, and has been so for the past 15 years that it is their intention to erect a dwelling house for the future residence of one of them on the said lands of Botaghstown, and that they have already erected a comfortable and substantial house upon the said farm in Boyerstown, which is intended as a residence for the other that the said cottage, if built, would considerably reduce the selling and letting value of the said land of Botaghstown, and would seriously injure their interest therein as it would interfere with the site on which they are desirous of building; that there are no houses condemned on their land, either at Botaghstown or Boyerstown; that on the adjoining lands, of which one of the guardians of the union and a member of the committee appointed to select sites for labourers' cottages is owner, a house occupied by his labourer has been condemned by the sanitary officer, the site of which is in every way suitable for the erection thereon of a new cottage, but the committee deliberately passed over same and recommended instead a site upon petitioners' land, and in so doing has been influenced by private and political motives; that the site selected on their land is not suitable, there being no water supply near same, that no sites for cottages were selected on the said guardian's land which contains over 50 acres, that the person for whom the said cottage is intended does not work for the petitioners or upon any part of their said lands, that the district is entirely a grazing district about which labourers are scarcely ever employed, and that there is no difficulty in obtaining labourers at all times in the vicinity	That the Provisional Order be confirmed. (The petition in this case was withdrawn.)	7 Jan. 1888	ditto	ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued*.

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
KANAN—*continued*.	Henry Barry Coddington.	That he is owner of the land in Ballyglasteen, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, to which he objects, for the reasons set forth in the petition lodged by Denis and Patrick Begnan that he further objects as a ratepayer in respect of said land, and that he objected at the local inquiry that the taking of the said site would considerably diminish the value of his interest in said land.	That the Provisional Order be confirmed. (The petition in this case was withdrawn.)	7 Jan. 1888	30 November 1887 Lord Chancellor Lord Chief Justice. Vice Chancellor Mr Kavanagh. Mr Ion T Hamilton.
	Henry Parsons	That he is tenant and occupier of the land in Ballyndagh, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that the said land contains about 90 acres, Irish, which is customarily used in connection with his residence situate on said land, and as a home farm that the said land is in grass, and that he intends to continue it in pasture that his residence stands in a lawn, and is surrounded by an ornamental plantation; that the said site is situate close to the said lawn, being merely divided from same by a small hedge, and that said site would materially interfere with the comfort of his residence and the lands immediately adjoining and customarily occupied therewith that the said cottage if erected would interfere with the full enjoyment of the said house and residence, and considerably diminish the value and convenience thereof; the said site being within one field of said residence, from which it is visible that none of the farmers in the neighbourhood have stated that they experience any difficulty in obtaining labourers in the district; that there is no necessity for the erection of the cottage at all, the site selected being within a few yards of the village of Kilmatown; that no house has been condemned on his lands by the sanitary officer; that the person for whom the said cottage is intended to be built does not work for any person resident on or in any way connected with his lands, but lives in a condemned house upon the lands of Shanbo and that there is ample room on said lands and on several other lands in the immediate neighborhood more suitable than petitioner for a labourer's cottage in lieu of said condemned house that his tenant of the said lands is one of the committee appointed by the guardians to select sites for labourers' cottages, but that he did not select a single site on his own lands, but is adopting his present course as a plan to get rid of the said labourer from his own lands and place him on those of petitioner because petitioner refused to join the Land League.	That the Provisional Order be confirmed.	7 Jan. 1888	ditto ditto.
	Balfour Stewart and Charles Balfour Stewart.	That they are owner and occupier, respectively, of the land in the townland of Ballymagarvey whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the said cottage is unnecessary as they have at the present time a cottage unoccupied, that the whole property is in one holding and will be farmed by the occupier; that the site proposed for the cottage is on lands customarily occupied with the residence, and that the said site is in a field adjoining an orchard attached to the residence, and interferes with its amenity; that there would be no permanent water supply on the said site.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	7 Jan. 1888	ditto ditto

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Walter Thom	That he is tenant and occupier of the lands of Cullen, containing about 200 acres, Irish wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that he resides upon said lands, and has within the past three years, and since the date of the last local inquiry under the Acts, erected a substantial labourer's cottage, and repaired and enlarged two other labourers' cottages thereon, that in addition to and three cottages there is also on said lands one other labourer's cottage, all of which cottages are in perfect repair and condition there is also another labourer's cottage within 100 yards of said lands, that the said lands are used as a "home farm," and are customarily used in connection with his residence, and that upon the said lands cows, cattle, and sheep are fed, and vegetables grown for consumption by his family and servants, and hay and oats grown for the use of his horses and cattle that the person, Patrick Brien, for whom the said cottage is intended, does not work for any one resident or so as to say way connected with petitioner's lands but has been working for the past 36 years as a roadmaker under a road contractor, and not as an agricultural labourer and that his son, who lives with him, works for a Mr Austin at a distance of a mile and a half that there is no water near the proposed site and that within the circumference of a mile there are at present, and have been for many years three unoccupied slated dwelling houses, in every way suitable for labourers cottages that the said cottage is wholly unnecessary, as there is no difficulty in obtaining labourers in and about the neighbourhood of Cullen, which is a pasture district, and labourers are constantly idle, having to go long distances in order to obtain employment that according to the scheme it is proposed to take about 20 perches of the said townland of Cullen, and said more to an angle of the townland of Fanmor containing one rood and 20 perches that upon said angle there is at present an existing labourer's cottage in thoroughly good repair and having a wall-cropped garden, which petitioner submits is ample for the requirements of the occupant, the said Patrick Brien, without purchasing the rates and inconveniencing petitioner by the compulsory purchase of 20 perches of his land, which will necessarily cut his holding out of three separate and distinct interests, viz. the landlord of said lands of Cullen and Fanmor, and the occupiers of the said lands of Cullen and Fanmor, respectively	The petitioner agreed to the said cottage upon the guardians undertaking (with the consent of Peter Austin, J.P., and ex officio guardian of the union and Patrick Brien, the labourer for whom the cottage was intended) that the said Patrick Brien and all his family should be located permanently in a cottage to be provided for him by the guardians on the land of the said Peter Austin, J.P.	7 Jan. 1880	ditto	ditto.
Maryus of Conyngham.	That he is owner in fee of the lands of Cullen, wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage to which he objects for the reasons set forth in the petition lodged by Walter Thom in also objects to so much a portion as 20 perches of his lands being taken inasmuch as he has already given several sites on his property in the union for the erection of labourers cottages thereon.	ditto - ditto	7 Jan. 1880	ditto	ditto.
C W Osborne	That he is owner of the land in Rossmore wherein it is proposed to erect a labourers cottage that he was not served with the proper and legal notices that the site selected is on the land of his tenant who holds under lease, and who objects to the taking of said site, that the said site is not sited quite close and almost opposite to the lodge entrance gate and avenue leading to his residence that the said site would materially interfere with the amenity of said residence and that it has not been selected with due regard to the general situation and convenience of his property that the said cottage is wholly unnecessary that there is never any difficulty in obtaining labourers about the neighbourhood of Rossmore which is a pasture district, and in which there are several thoroughly good but unoccupied, houses that the number of labourers in the district is far in excess of its requirements, and that most labourers frequently go long distances in search of employment, that if it be necessary to erect a labourer's cottage in the district there are several other lands much more suitable for the purpose than his.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	7 Jan. 1880	ditto	ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against *Provisional Orders* issued by the *Local Government Board for Ireland*, &c.—*continued*.

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
ABBEYLEIX	Patrick M'Donald	That he is occupier of the land in the townland of *Rochurrow*, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the existing house accommodation for labourers in the district is sufficient, inasmuch as there is a good existing labourer's cottage on his lands, and that the whole townland is in his occupation; that no labourers are required by any one in the neighbourhood beyond the eight labourers at present employed by him, who are already sufficiently supplied with house accommodation on the adjoining townlands.	That the Provisional Order be confirmed. (The petition on this case was withdrawn)	2 Jan. 1888	30 November 1887 Lord Chancellor Lord Chief Justice Vice Chancellor Mr Kavanagh Mr Jos T. Hamilton.
DUNSHAUGHLIN	Arthur William Thompson.	That he is owner and occupier of the lands in the townland of Mayne, on which it is proposed to erect two labourers' cottages; that the number of cottages at present in the district is sufficient for the accommodation of the agricultural labourers required that the district is almost entirely pastoral that he has on the adjoining lands a labourer's cottage with land attached, which has been unoccupied for some time past; that the said sites are unsuitable for the erection of the said proposed cottages, being at a considerable distance from any agricultural lands, and were therefore not selected with due regard to the convenience of employers requiring agricultural labourers.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	2 Jan. 1888	1 December 1887 The Lord Chancellor The Lord Chief Justice. The Vice Chancellor Judge Warren Mr Jos T. Hamilton.
THURLES	Richard Augustus Cooper	That he is the owner and is now in occupation of the land in the townland of Athnid Beg whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that a labourer's cottage is not required in the said locality, there being already sufficient accommodation for the labourers likely to be employed in the district that the farm on which the said cottage is proposed to be erected is a valuable grazing farm, on which there is a good dwelling house and offices, as well as a good labourer's house with two acres of land attached that the said farm has been boycotted in consequence of the eviction of the former tenant for non payment of rent, and is now, and has been for a considerable time, in his hands, persons being prevented from becoming tenants thereof by threats and fear of injury; that the erection of the proposed cottage would injure the said house by its proximity, and also the said farm as a grazing farm, and would render it still more difficult to let the said farm as managed at present	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	7 Jan. 1888	- ditto ditto
MALLOW	Jane M Westropp	That she is one of the four joint owners, and the agent and manager of the remaining three joint owners of the lands in the townland of Twopothouse, whereon it is proposed to erect the labourers cottages; that the said lands were held by a tenant who was evicted for non payment of rent, and that the lands in consequence remained unoccupied until the Land Corporation of Ireland became tenants, that the said tenants grass the lands lilling no part thereof and that there is no necessity for labour accommodation thereon, the caretaker of the lands residing in the house of the evicted tenant that further labour accommodation is not required in the district, which for many miles around is almost entirely grazing land, with an abnormally small amount of tillage, and that the six cottages proposed to be built in the division, in addition to the two cottages to which she objects, will, with the accommodation already existing therein, more than supply any want of labourers' houses which may be supposed	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	6 Mar. 1888	17 February 1888 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan. The Lord Chief Justice. Lord Justice Barry The Vice Chancellor Mr Brewn. Mr Justice Monroe Mr Jos T. Hamilton.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

	to exist, that the evicted tenant is not an agricultural labourer, and lives outside and close to the bounds of the said lands in a Land League hut, and that if he or any agent of his were to be installed in any cottage upon said farm, it would cause great annoyance and inconvenience to the Land Corporation, would seriously impede them in the management of the lands and compel them to surrender the farm that the has lost considerable sums of money by the late tenant and in the care and guarding of the farm while untenanted, that the placing of the cottages on the said lands will depreciate their value and place her in danger of losing the present tenants of same.				
David Nulgan	That he is occupier of the land in the townland of Carrnasahole wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, that the site selected is unfit for the purpose of building a cottage thereon as it is subject to periodical flooding that for the purpose of ornament and protection to his remisses, he recently built a stone wall between his farm and the public road and that his wall would cow be the boundary of the proposed cottage and plot, thus shutting him out from the protection and ornament for which the wall was built that the gate entrance to his dwelling house and offices is close to the site selected for the proposed cottage, which is very inconvenient, that the selection of the said site was made with a view of causing annoyance, that on the ground of economy a selection on a site less inconvenient might have been made, as, in addition to any compensation he may be entitled to claim the expense of building the said stone wall and the inconvenience to his gate entrance must be added that there are already on his farm two cottages, with a roof of land attached, which are in the occupation of two farm labourers, and there is no necessity for additional cottages in said district.	Site to be substituted for the one objected to.	6 Mar 1888	ditto	ditto.
Jeremiah Buckley -	That he is occupier of the lands in the townland of Ballydineen, wherein it is proposed to erect two labourers' cottages that the scheme was proposed and sought to be carried out by certain persons who are actuated by malice, and that the gentlemen been been misled and procured by them persons who made the representation to them that the cottages were needed in the district that the attempt to place the two cottages on his farm was the result of having incurred the displeasure of certain members of the local branch of the National League, and is part of the system of persecution which has been inflicted towards him and members of his family that the farm on which it is proposed to erect the said cottages contains about 80 acres of coarse bad land, of which he kills seven or eight acres every year and that he has no and farm two labourers' cottages, and employs two labourers, that he is the only tenant on the townland of Ballydineen on whose land it has been proposed to erect a cottage, and that the guardians of the district did not consider the own farm suitable for such a purpose, that the said farm is about 300 yards distant from the town of Dunmanis, whence them are at all times dozens of labourers unemployed, and several houses unoccupied and if said houses should be erected no labourers would be found to occupy them, as they would much prefer to live in the town where they would be convenient to their work for them is no employment for a labourer in the district on which his farm is situate that a great many labourers in the district who were employed by the late Lord Doneraile here, since his decease, been dismissed and although they are comfortably housed they have now no employment, that the farm is very inconveniently situated supposing a water supply in summer and that the site for the proposed cottages is a few yards distant from a well on the farm which holds generally a sufficient quantity of water and that the filth, manure, and nuisance which would be collected by the labourers occupying the proposed cottages, would find its way into the said well and would render same not only useless but dangerous.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme as framed by the Provisional Order	6 Mar 1888	ditto	ditto.
John Walsh - -	That he is occupier of the land in the townland of Ballygriffa, whereon it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages that the place selected is utilised by him for watering the cattle, and if said two cottages be erected thereon his farm will be quite useless to him, that he is willing and satisfied to have a labourer's cottage erected on any field other than the one so selected for said site, as his farm would be quite worthless to him if he were deprived of this field, as there is no other pond of water on said farm where cattle could get drink, that said water is stagnant, and that there is no fresh or running water nearer than one mile from the site of the proposed cottages, that he is satisfied and convinced that the selection of the said site and plot by the guardian of the division was made with a view to his personal annoyance and injury	Site to be substituted for the one objected to.	6 Mar 1888	ditto	ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued.*

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
MALLOW—*continued*	The Rev S O Maddan, D.D., and James Barrett.	That they are owner and tenant respectively of the lands in the townland of Lavally Upper whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that a cottage is not required on this farm, as no labourer is kept by the tenant who manages it sufficiently by himself and his sons that if labourers cottages are needed in the locality, sites should have been selected on larger farms where labourers are required	That the Provisional Order be confirmed.	6 Mar 1888	17 February 1888 The Lord Chancellor. Mr Cogan. The Lord Chief Justice. Lord Justice Barry The Vice Chancellor Mr Brett. Mr Justice Monroe Mr Jon T Hamilton
	Richard Hayes and James Barrett.	That they are owner and tenant respectively of the lands in the townland of Lavally Lower, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that there are several larger farms in the immediate vicinity upon which there are no labourer's cottages and none are ordered to be built thereon that the majority of the guardians of the union, and more especially the guardian of the division, has a spite against one of the petitioners, and that it is for the purpose of annoyance, and if possible to force him out of his farms that they have fixed upon the said farms for the erection of labourers cottages thereon, in preference to larger farms held by other parties in the immediate vicinity	ditto ditto	6 Mar 1888	ditto ditto
DELVIN	Henry Mathew Smythe.	That he is owner of the lands in the townlands of Ranaghan and Collinstown, whereon it is proposed to erect four labourers cottages that, on regards the sites to be taken in the townland of Ranaghan and in the town land of Collinstown, he alleges that no notice was served on him or his agent in the matter that the land proposed to be acquired in the said townland of Collinstown was formerly part of the glebe lands of Kilcommery and that the said lands, containing 13 Irish acres, closely adjoins his demesne of Barbavilla and has always been used as a detached portion of it that four cottages have already been built on an adjoining estate under the Acts quite close to the said sites, and that these are more than sufficient for the requirements of the locality that there are several houses empty which are suitable for the accommodation of labourers in the adjoining village of Collinstown, and that two houses have been lately pulled down by him, as they were getting out of repair and there was no one to occupy them.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	9 Jan 1888	1 December 1887 The Lord Chancellor Judge Warren. Mr Jon T Hamilton.
ENNISTYMON	Daniel O Brien	That he is occupier of the lands in the townland of Ballybrack whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that the site on which it is proposed to erect the said cottage is on that part of the farm of present used by his herdsman for tillage, that there is no other part of his lands where said herdsman can get a proper site for his tillage garden and that he will be compelled to seek outside his holding suitable tillage ground for the accommodation of his herdsman, if the taking of the said site and plot, as proposed, be sanctioned	That the Provisional Order be confirmed. (The Petition in this case was withdrawn.)	6 Mar 1888	17 February 1888 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan. The Lord Chief Justice. Lord Justice Barry The Vice Chancellor Mr Brett Mr Kavanagh Mr Justice Monroe. Mr Jon T Hamilton.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

92	John O'Leghlin	That he is in occupation of the lands in the townland of Rellybeare wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that there are at present on the said holding, which contains only 48 acres, two slated cottages for labourers that the site and plot proposed to be acquired is situate on that part of the said holding used as tillage ground, that in the same locality there are several farms of greater extent on which no sites were chosen, although there are no labourers houses thereon.	That the Provisional Order be confirmed. (The Petition in this case was withdrawn.)	6 Mar 1888	ditto	ditto.
	Eleanor Leonora Moreny	That she is owner of the land in the townland of Freaffy South, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the cottage in question is not required inasmuch as a suitable house in the immediate vicinity has been unoccupied for the last 12 months and upwards, as no labourer required it, that the holding whereon it is proposed to erect the said cottage is only five acres in extent, on which there is already a cottage; that the said cottage is proposed to be erected opposite a farm from which a tenant has been evicted, and is done for the purpose of annoyance	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	6 Mar 1888	ditto	ditto
GORT	Annie de Blaquiere	That she is owner of the land in the townland of Rathwilladoon, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the man for whom it is proposed to erect the said cottage has not been the occupier of any house or cottage, but is merely a lodger, is over 70 years of age, and does not work that there is no labouring work in the locality the place being overstocked with well housed labourers and building more cottages or imposing more labourers would simply bring destruction to those already in the place that the person for whom the cottage is intended to be built refused, when offered some time ago the situation of herd, with a house, an acre of land, the grass of a cow and some sheep	ditto ditto	6 Mar 1888	18 February 1888 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan. The Vice Chancellor Lord Justice Barry	
KELLS	Patrick Mullaly	That he is occupier of the land in the townland of Castlekeeran wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that the extent of his farm is about 27 Irish acres, that petitioner has already on his holding a stone-built cottage with a small garden attached, and no complaint was ever made to petitioner by the tenant of the said cottage as to its being unhealthy or unfit for habitation until the application for a labourer's cottage was made that the site proposed is most inconvenient, and would practically render the remainder of the field, containing 14 acres, valueless to petitioner, there being another portion of the field adjoining the road for 15 or 16 perches, to reach which a steep hill would have to be traversed, which would render most difficult the drawing of manure that said site was chosen to punish petitioner for not contributing towards a fund to indemnify certain tenants against whom petitioner's landlord was proceeding for recovery of rent that one of the said tenants whose farm was sold under the decree obtained in the matter in the poor law guardian for an adjoining electoral division, that said guardian is the principal person who is endeavouring to place the said cottage on petitioner's farm; that the person for whom the cottage is intended does not work in the vicinity that there is neither want of labourers nor insufficient accommodation for labourers in the district and that no difficulty is experienced by him or anyone in the district in obtaining labourers when required	ditto ditto (Scheme for the cottage abandoned by guardians.)	6 Mar 1888	ditto	ditto

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued*.

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector.	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
KELLS—*continued*	The Marquis of Headford.	That he is owner as tenant for life of the land in the townland of Townparks whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the holding on which the cottage is proposed to be erected contains six acres statute measure and has been meadowed by the tenant; no labourers having been engaged upon it that the said holding is so small as not to require a labourer's cottage to be erected upon it for its cultivation and the proposed cottage is, therefore, quite unnecessary for the holding that there are already too many labourers in the district, petitioner having to start relief works to give employment to the heads of families, labourers &c., for whom there was no work in the district, and notwithstanding these works there is still a large number of labourers in the district who cannot get any employment; that the said site is unsuitable and inconvenient.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for the cottage abandoned by guardians)	6 Mar 1883	13 February 1883 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan The Vice Chancellor Lord Justice Barry
WATERFORD	John Nolan	That he is owner of the land in the townland of Faky whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the farm on which the said site is situate is in the nature of a home farm; that even if said farm were not in the nature of a home farm, the taking of the said plot would interfere with the amenity of residence, that the said plot was not selected with due regard to the general situation and convenience of his property so as to diminish the value thereof as little as possible inasmuch as it is proposed to build the said cottage at the rear of a slated house on the said lands and that thus, coupled with the situation of the said plot, would completely cut off said slated house and the strip of land attached thereto from the rest of his land, which would be a great disadvantage to him; that there is already on the said farm sufficient house accommodation for the labourers required, and their families.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for the cottage abandoned by guardians.)	6 Mar 1883	13 February 1883 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan. The Vice Chancellor Lord Justice Barry
ENNIS	Cornelius M. Brennan	That he is in occupation of the land in the townland of Tullyarragh, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the erection of the proposed cottage would seriously injure him in the working of his farm, as it blocks up the road and gateway he uses every year in thus bringing out his hay; that a herdsman already resides on the said farm which is grazing land, and that the said site is a long distance from a public road.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for the cottage abandoned by guardians.)	6 Mar 1883	13 February 1883 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan. The Vice Chancellor Lord Justice Barry
	Henry Vassal D Esterre	That he is owner of the lands in the townland of Woodpark, whereon it is proposed to erect two labourers' cottages; that the sites proposed to be taken are within the demesne of Woodpark and not more than 200 yards distant from the dwelling house; that the said demesne is old meadow land which has not been tilled within his recollection, and that it is proposed to cut out the said sites in such a manner as would most seriously injure and decrease the value of the said demesne and premises; that there are three labourers cottages already on Woodpark, which are quite sufficient for the accommodation of the labourers employed thereon; that the persons whom it is proposed should occupy the said cottages when erected are to the employment of other owners living in the same neighbourhood at some distance from the said lands, and that also, if required for these labourers, should be found nearer to their work; that the proposed sites were selected from motives of self-interest and in furtherance of a system of boycotting and persecution to which he has been subjected for the past two years.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	6 Mar 1883	ditto ditto

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

	James Frost	That he is owner and occupier of the land in the townland of Cappagh Lodge, whereon it is proposed to erect two labourers' cottages; that the quantity of land in the said farm under tillage consists of 12 acres, Irish measure, the rest being in pasture; that on this farm he employs a man with his three sons, paying them wages and allowing them three acres, Irish, of land for cultivation; that he also employs another man residing on an adjoining farm who holds about half an acre of land: and that the said five men are all that he requires on his said farms, or is ever likely to require; that the village of Shrulehaldge immediately adjoins Cappagh Lodge and that the towns of Limerick and Ennis encroach at the said village within part of the union of Tulla approaches within half a mile of it; that immediately contiguous to Shrulehaldge, and within half a mile of Cappagh Lodge, eight labourers' cottages are built, or are about to be built, by the sanitary authority of the Limerick Union; that about four labourers' cottages have been built within a quarter of a mile of Cappagh Lodge by the guardians of Tullagh Union, and that about three years ago a labourer's cottage was erected within 60 yards of Cappagh Lodge by order of the Land Commission, which cottage has remained unoccupied up to the present time: that the said lands of Cappagh Lodge are demesne lands; that the existing accommodation for labouring men in the neighbourhood of Shrulehaldge is already sufficient.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for three cottages abandoned by guardians.)	6 Mar 1888	18 February 1888 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan. The Vice Chancellor Lord Justice Barry	
NAAS	H. Dawson	That he is occupier of the lands in the townland of Ballymax Hills, whereon it is proposed to build a labourer's cottage: that the said site being situate close to the entrance to his avenue destroys its privacy: that it would take the only portion of level frontage on the main road from Ballymax to the Curragh, which is the most convenient entrance for farm purposes: that it would absorb the piece of land most suitable for depositing camp manure: that the said site was chosen as the only portion of his land where there is any timber: the felling of which would deprive his cattle of the only shelter from the rays of the sun in summer, and would utterly destroy the ventilation of his farm; that the proposed site is further the best part of the land from the market town of Kilcullen; that there is no water near the said site, which is covered with roots of trees, and is unsuitable for the purpose, being below the level of the road: that about two acres of his land is sublet to a man who has an excellent house, and that the remaining 64 acres has, besides residence, three good cottages, two of which are not required by him, as he only employs one farm labourer, and he submits that the building of another cottage would be an unnecessary expense.	Site to be substituted for the one objected to.	6 Mar 1888	18 February 1888 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan. The Vice Chancellor Lord Justice Barry	
CELBRIDGE	John A. Conolly and Rev Thomas Hare.	That they are the owners in trust of the three townlands of Crodaun, Moortown, and Oldtown, whereon it is proposed to erect four labourers' cottages that on deficiency of house accommodation for agricultural labourers and those families has been proved to exist, or does in fact exist, in the district in which the said lands are situate: that said lands are situate near the town of Celbridge, the population of which has been very largely reduced within the last few years owing to the stoppage, about seven years ago of two large flax mills which employed about 600 hands the operatives and their families, with few exceptions, having left the neighbourhood in search of employment elsewhere in consequence of which the house accommodation in the neighbourhood has been more than sufficient: that, with reference to the site proposed on the townland of Crodaun the whole of the said townland is in pasture, and that no agricultural labourer is employed thereon, the stock upon said land being herded by a man who lives in a good house in Castletown demesne belonging to them, and which cottage they keep in repair: that the said site is only separated by a mid from the boundary wall of said demesne in which there is a large wood stocked with game: that as regards the site proposed to be taken on the townland of Moortown, it is on a pasture farm on which no agricultural labourers are employed, the stock thereon being herded by a man who is provided with a good house: that on the adjacent townland of Kilvoghan there is a good two-storied slated house at present unoccupied, and that the tenant of the lands is prepared to put same in repair for the occupation of his herd, who formerly occupied a cottage on the said lands of Oldtown, which is now unfit for habitation: that, under the circumstances, said house is more suitable to bring labourers on to the farm there is no employment for them: with respect to the site proposed on the townland of Oldtown, they state that since the local inquiry into the scheme was held, circumstances have happened which render it no longer necessary that a cottage should be erected on the said lands, as proposed inasmuch as the house which was occupied by the tenant who then held the lands and who has since died, has been handed over by his successor to the person who had been living at the date of the local inquiry in one of the houses condemned as unfit for human habitation and that the person who occupied another of the condemned houses has gone to reside in a house about a mile and a half distant, which belongs to them and has recently been re-thatched by them, and is in good repair, that there is a third cottage unfit for habitation on the said lands of Oldtown, but that it is in unroofed half a mile distant from Celbridge, where the occupier could get accommodation if he paid for it; that man to not employed upon the Conolly estate, but works for a lady living at Windgate, they also state that if, under the circumstances mentioned, it is considered necessary that a cottage should be erected for the man on the lands of Oldtown, they do not object, but they submit that the proposal to erect the second cottage is unnecessary	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	6 Mar 1888	18 February 1888 The Lord Chancellor Mr Cogan. The Lord Chief Justice. The Vice Chancellor Lord Justice Barry	

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland, &c.*—*continued.*

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector	Grounds of Objection	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
CELBRIDGE—*continued*	Henry Byrne	That he is lessee of part of the lands of Ilchorlock whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the proposed cottage is wholly unnecessary as there is already within the district a sufficient number of suitable and sanitary dwellings for agricultural labourers and their families, having regard to the ordinary requirements of the district; that there are, adjoining the land on which the cottages are proposed to be erected, cottages which have to travel more than a mile to obtain employment, as he only employs one man constantly who resides in his house; that the site selected is unsuitable, there being no public water supply the only well of spring water being about a quarter mile from the proposed site, unless by crossing land where no right of way exists, and to which he strongly objects.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	6 Mar 1888	18 February 1888 The Lord Chancellor Mr. Cogan. The Lord Chief Justice The Vice Chancellor Lord Justice Barry.
	Midland Great Western Railway of Ireland Company	That they are owners in fee of the lands in the townland of Kilcock, whereon it is proposed to erect 12 labourers' cottages, to which they object, for the following reasons:—That no evidence was given at the Local Inquiry, as required by the Act, and that the landlord, owner or occupier, had no opportunity of offering any evidence thereat: that the lands on which the sites are situate do not immediately adjoin, and are not accessible from an existing public road but are on a private accommodation road or approach to the railway station at Kilcock, made over their property and maintained by them at their own expense: that the lands proposed to be taken have not been selected with due regard to the general selection and convenience of their property so as to diminish the value thereof as little as possible, but, on the contrary have been so selected as to greatly diminish such value, being in close proximity to their railway station at Kilcock, that the said lands were acquired under Parliamentary powers for railway purposes only, and that it is probable that the whole or part of same may be shortly required for such purposes, and for the use and accommodation of the public using the said railway: that there is sufficient and suitable existing house accommodation in the district for agricultural labourers and their families: that the site proposed for the said intended cottages was not selected pursuant to the provisions of the said Acts, or any of them, and is unsuitable	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	6 May 1889	ditto - ditto.
	Edward Colgan	That he is the immediate landlord of the lands in the townland of Kilcock whereon it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages: that the selection of the said site was not fairly and impartially made by the guardians: that there are other sites more eligible than those selected on the land which consists of 24 acres of unmeet pasture, unbroken for 30 years, that it would be more expedient to station the cottages out in the country nearer to the source of employment, and removed from the temptations which close proximity to the town would cause to the occupying labourers.	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	6 May 1888	18 February 1888 The Lord Chancellor Mr. Cogan. The Lord Chief Justice. The Vice Chancellor Lord Justice Barry
	Daniel Kyrne	That he is tenant in occupation of the lands in the townland of Kilcock whereon it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages; that the guardians who selected the said two sites did so in order to punish and annoy him, and not for the *bonâ fide* purpose of carrying out the Acts: that the said guardians is interested in a public house in the village of Kilcock, and with the view of raising the receipts of that concern has appointed those and other cottages close to the village instead of out in the country where they should be; that the said guardians family hold seven farms of land in the vicinity and that upon the entire number only one cottage is placed, that he has eight houses in the village set to labourers, which cottages are in good sanitary condition	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	6 Mar 1888	ditto ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

	Richard Walsh	That he is owner under fee farm grant of the land in the townland of Brownshare, whereon it is proposed to erect two labourers cottages, that the said cottages are wholly unnecessary as there is already in the district a sufficient number of suitable and sanitary dwellings for agricultural labourers and their families, having regard to the ordinary requirements of the district that the sites selected are remote on his "home farm" at Kingswood that there has been no case of epidemic disease or any complaint made by the inhabitants of the houses now for the first time, condemned by the sanitary authority	That the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by Provisional Order	6 Mar 1888	18 February 1889 : The Lord Chancellor. Mr Cogan. The Lord Chief Justice. The Vice Chancellor Lord Justice Barry
TRIM	Augusta E. Magan	That she is the owner of the lands on the townlands of Blacklands and Inan, whereon it is proposed to erect three labourers' cottages, and is a ratepayer representing upwards of three fourths of the entire rateable valuation of the said townland of Blacklands the greater part of which townland forms portion of her home farm ; that the person for whom the cottage is intended has not been employed by her, but resides and is constantly employed on another estate in the townland of Ballynabarney at some distance from the proposed site, and that in the townland in which he resides, and especially on the farm where he works, numerous eligible sites are available on which a cottage could be erected for him if necessary ; that with regard to the two cottages proposed to be erected in the townland of Inan, the labourer for whom one of the said cottages is intended is constantly employed upon a large tillage farm in the neighbouring townland of Clondalee upon which farm not a single labourer's cottage exists, that the said farm is at a considerable distance from the proposed site and that the said cottage, if erected, would be a most unsuitable place for a labourer to reside who is employed at Clondalee; that if the said labourer requires a house there are numerous eligible sites in the townland of Clondalee on which a cottage could be erected for him close to his work that as regards the second cottage proposed in the townland of Inan, the site proposed is on a farm on her own occupation and used solely as a grazing dew farm upon which no labour is required that the labourer for whom the said cottage is intended has left the locality altogether and is now employed at Toomastown which is distant about four miles from the site proposed, that there is not sufficient work in the neighbourhood of the said townlands of Blacklands and Inan to employ the labourers already residing in the vicinity, and that in erect additional cottages and import other labourers into the district would only aggravate the poor condition of those already residing there that there is already ample dwelling accommodation for any labourers employed in the neighbourhood.	That one of the cottages objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	18 April 1888	9 April 1889 The Lord Chancellor. The Vice Chancellor Judge Warren. Mr Kavanagh Mr Justice Murphy. Mr Justice Gibson. Mr Tom T Hamilton
	Samuel Hughes	That he is owner and occupier of that portion of the townland of Glebe wherein it is proposed he erect a labourer's cottage that he is able, with the assistance of his son and a servant boy who resides with him, to do all the ordinary work of his farm, and that he could not employ the future occupant of the proposed cottage that none of the farmers in the neighbourhood are in want of another permanent labourer that the labourer for whom the said proposed cottage was intended has a house (with a plot of ground) which he has allowed to go out of repair and does not want a union cottage and would not live in one ; that a cottage on his land, the tenant of which is at present in the Union Infirmary will probably soon be in his possession, which could be let, with a suitable garden, to any labourer who required it, that the erection of the said cottage is inconvenient to him, is wholly unnecessary, and would be a burden on the already highly taxed ratepayers.	That the Provisional Order be confirmed	18 April 1888	ditto - ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, &c.—*continued*.

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector	Grounds of Objection	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
TRIM—*continued*	Patrick J Dunne	That he is lessee and occupier of the land in the townland of Ellerkenock, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that no necessity exists for the erection of the said cottage, there being already within the district suitable labourers' dwellings more than sufficient to satisfy the demand that on his holding which consists of 31 acres, there are six good and substantial cottages—four of which are slated and two thatched—all of which are in every way suitable for the accommodation of labourers, that four of these said cottages are occupied by labourers who are in his constant employment, and that the remaining two cottages are unoccupied, no application therefor having been made by any labourer, that all the labourers resident in the townland are in constant employment, and reside on the lands of their employers, and that there is no demand for additional labour that the labourer for whose accommodation the cottage in question is proposed to be built is on the constant employment of one of the largest landholders in the district, that the said labourer has hitherto lived upon the lands of his employer	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	18 April 1900	9 April 1898 The Lord Chancellor The Vice Chancellor Judge Warren. Mr Kavanagh. Mr Justice Monroe Mr Justice Gibson. Mr Ion T Hamilton.
URLINGFORD	Jeremiah Fitzpatrick	That he is occupier of the land in the townland of Coolnahilla, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that the site proposed is not that originally selected by the ratepayers who made the representation, but one erroneously marked on the map without their consent or knowledge, in lieu of the site so selected, that the erection of the cottage on the site proposed would interfere with the amenity of his residence that the proposed site is unsuitable, the soil being quite unfit for tillage, being situate on a greenstone quarry, the stone of which is visible in parts, and within from two to six inches of the surface throughout; that the existing accommodation for agricultural labourers in the district is not deficient, having regard to the ordinary requirements; that the person named in the representation for whom the proposed cottage is required is not an agricultural labourer	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	18 April 1900	ditto ditto
	Samuel Handcock	That he is lessee and occupier of the land in the townland of Glencoole, South, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage, that he is bound by the terms of his lease not to break up till the said lands, which comprise 71 acres, and are all in grass, that there is little tillage in the district, the lands being in grass, and consequently that there is no employment for a labourer and that it would be a hardship to erect the cottage on his farm to convenience other persons without any advantage to him that not holding land suitable for tillage, he requires only one labourer whom he has comfortably housed on one of his farms in an adjoining town that he has made an offer to the Land Judges for the purchase of his holding and hopes to be able to claim exemption on future cases on the grounds of his holding being a home farm.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	18 April 1900	ditto ditto

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

MITCHELSTOWN	Anne, Countess of Kingston.	That she is owner (in fee-simple) of the land in the townland of Glenamulla whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the said site and plot of land proposed to be acquired formed part of a holding which was formerly in the possession of a tenant who was evicted therefrom for non-payment of rent, and that the said farm since that period has been known in the district as a boycotted farm; that the said site is not a suitable or proper one for the erection thereon of a labourer cottage, and that if the house were built as proposed the working of the said farm would be rendered difficult; that she believes the object of the sanitary authority in selecting the site was to embarrass her in the farming of said holding, and that there is no deficiency of houses in the district for agricultural labourers.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order	18 April 1889	ditto	ditto
TULLAMORE	Martin Grennan	That he is occupier of the lands in the townland of Rahoo whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that he dissented, and attended at the local inquiry into the scheme for the purpose of giving evidence in support of his objection, but that owing to the manner in which the labourers and other persons interested in the matter conducted themselves, Petitioner was intimidated and afraid to come forward (although present) to give evidence in support of his objection; that the person for whom the said cottage is intended is not a labourer within the meaning of the Acts, and at present resides on the holding of a farmer who has over 100 acres of land on which there is no labourer's cottage, although suitable for the purpose; that there is ample accommodation for labourers in the district, and that no necessity exists for additional labourers, as no employment could be had for them in the locality; that petitioner does not require the service of any labourer in the management of his farm.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for the cottage abandoned by guardians.)	5 Aug. 1888	2 August 1888. The Lord Chancellor. Mr. Cogan. The Vice Chancellor.	
	Sir Edward Grogan, bart.	That he is owner and occupier of the land in the townland of Forbycares whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that the proposed site is situate on a farm which is boycotted so that he is unable to obtain a tenant for same or make any use of it on account of the state of feeling in the neighbourhood and the intimidation which prevails owing to the former tenant having been compelled to leave, it is alleged, through the excessiveness of the rent; that the site is unsuitable, and was chosen by the sanitary authority as a means of giving him annoyance and depreciating his property; that there is no need whatever for increased house accommodation for agricultural labourers in the district, which is thinly peopled and its population decreasing; that the farms in the neighbourhood are large and principally in pasture, very few labourers being employed thereon, and that many labourers residing in the district have to seek work elsewhere; that the proposed site is close to Fethard town a decaying town, in which there are numerous substantial houses suitable for labourers' dwellings, for which no tenants can be obtained even at the most moderate rents; that some of the larger landholders in the district have numerous labourers cottages on their lands for which they are unable to obtain tenants, and that the quantity of land proposed to be taken compulsorily is in excess of the amount authorised by the Acts	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for the cottage abandoned by guardians.)	5 Aug. 1888	ditto	ditto

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

Poor Law Union.	Name of Objector.	Grounds of Objection.	Decision of Privy Council.	Date of Decision.	Members of Committee of Privy Council present.
CARIBEL - -	William Rochfort	That he is agent to the owner of the land in the townland of Ellhenoby wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that there is at present a labourer's cottage on the land in question which is kept in repair by the landlord; that the site of the proposed cottage is on a farm, which is entirely in grass, from which the tenant has been evicted.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	3 Aug. 1866	2 August 1866 The Lord Chancellor. Mr. Cogan. The Vice Chancellor.
	Patrick Slattery	That he is occupier of the land in the townland of Newbawnmagan wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage; that a labourer is not required in said locality that he holds about 35 statutes acres chiefly in pasture, and that there are larger farms in the Electoral Division on which there are not at present any labourers cottages, and on which sites are proposed by the guardians to be placed that the attempt to place a labourers cottage on the said land is a spiteful proceeding on the part of the National League by whom he has been denounced and boycotted, so that he finds it difficult to obtain labourers for his farm.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	3 Aug. 1866	ditto ditto
CLOGHEEN - -	Nathaniel Bentley -	That he is owner and occupier of the lands in the townland of Cockslerry wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that a labourer's cottage is not required in the said locality there being already sufficient accommodation for the labourers employed or likely to be employed in the district that a cottage erected under the Acts in the neighbouring townland of Deelis is empty for seven months, and no labourer will take it as no work exists for him in the locality, that he has already three cottages for labourers on his own which is about 300 acres in extent, and all his labourers are properly housed; that no labourer in the neighbourhood wants a house, and that it is openly stated by the guardians that three men are to be brought from Ballyroseen Village (which is a mile distant) to occupy the proposed cottage that there is no water supply within a quarter of a mile of the proposed site, and the supply at that distance fails if the summer season be dry; that there is a labourer's cottage erected by the said guardians within 900 yards of the proposed site; that the farm on which it is proposed to erect the said cottage was formerly in the possession of a tenant who was evicted for non payment of rent.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	10 Nov 1866	31 October 1866 The Lord Chancellor The Duke of Lannier The Vice Chancellor Mr S. W Flanagan. Judge Warren. Mr Justice Holmes. Mr Justice Monroe. Mr Ion T Hamilton.
	William Moran	That he is occupier of the land in the townland of Ballyboy, East, wherein it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage that no necessity exists for erecting another labourer's cottage in the said townland, inasmuch as the labourers who occupy the three cottages which have been already erected in the said townland under the Acts have not paid rent therefor for over six months, owing to inability arising from want of employment in the district that he employs on an average four men, three of whom live in his house, and the fourth is provided in an adjoining townland with a good house rent free; and that he is not aware of any labourer in the neighbourhood in want of a cottage.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for the cottage abandoned by guardians.)	10 Nov 1866	ditto - ditto.

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

	Elizabeth Perry -	That she is owner of the land in the townland of Clashganny, West, whereon is situate an existing cottage which the guardians propose to purchase and repair : that on the lands and fences of the plot proposed to be taken there are 180 forest trees of considerable dimensions about 100 years old, which are ornamental and the only trees in the vicinity, and that their removal would injure the appearance of the village which they adorn : that said trees are valuable as timber and are a shelter to the farm on which they stand ; that branches of the said trees spread over the said plot of ground, and would render same unsafe for a labourer's garden ; and that no guarantee is given that the timber will be allowed to remain standing.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	10 Nov 1882	ditto ditto.	
SKIBBEREEN	Jeremiah Donovan -	That he is the occupier of the lands in the townland of Dungmoon whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage : that the land proposed to be taken as an allotment for the cottage in question has not been selected with due regard to the general situation and convenience of his property so as to diminish the value thereof as little as possible : that there is already sufficient accommodation for labourers, and that there are already two good houses on his farm which consist of 16 acres : that the site has been selected not of malice, and in order to annoy me inconvenience him.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	4 Feb. 1883	10 January 1883 The Earl of Belmore. Mr Ogan. The Vice Chancellor Mr Kavanagh. Mr Jon T Hamilton.	
	John Sheahan -	That he, Margaret Matilda Sheahan and Hannah Sheahan are the joint occupiers of the lands in the townland of Drum whereon it is proposed to erect two labourers' cottages ; that there are at present four labourer's houses on the holding, which consists of 30 acres, the occupants of which houses are provided with the present accommodation ; and that there is no demand for labour in the district.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for these cottages abandoned by guardians.)	4 Feb. 1883	ditto ditto	
	Mary Deasy -	That she is the occupier of the lands in the townland of Gortnaclahy containing about 29 acres, whereon it is proposed to erect a labourer's cottage ; that there are other farms in the Electoral Division more capable of holding cottages and more convenient to the road : that the intends to build cottages on the said lands and on the site selected by the guardians : that the place where it is intended to erect the cottage objected to is unjust to her and would be unfair to the person who would occupy said cottage ; that the applicant for the cottage is not a labourer.	That the cottage objected to be excluded from the scheme authorised by the Provisional Order (Scheme for this cottage abandoned by guardians.)	4 Feb. 1883	ditto ditto.	

Privy Council Office, Dublin Castle,
22 March 1883

W. B. Kaye

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit

LABOURERS (IRELAND) ACTS, 1883-6.

RETURN of Cases in which Objections were Lodged against Provisional Orders issued by the Local Government Board for *Ireland*, the Grounds of the Objection in each Case, and the Decision of the Lord Lieutenant in Council; also showing the Dates of the Sitting of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, and the Members of the Committee present (in continuation of Parliamentary Paper, No. 139, of Session 1887).

(*Mr. Penrose FitzGerald.*)

Ordered, by The House of Commons, *to be Printed*,
28 *March* 1889.

[*Price* 6½ *d.*]

92. *Under* 6 *oz.*

H.—28. 4. 89

Printed image digitised by the University of Southampton Library Digitisation Unit